

Exempt From Filing Fees RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP 1 Jeremy N. Jungreis (State Bar No. 256417) jjungreis@rutan.com Douglas J. Dennington (State Bar No. 173447) 3 ddennington@rutan.com Travis Van Ligten (State Bar No. 301715) tvanligten@rutan.com 18575 Jamboree Road, 9th Floor Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: 714-641-5100 Facsimile: 714-546-9035 6 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT a California special district 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DISTRICT 10 11 SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER, a Case No. 19STCP01176 California non-profit corporation, 12 Judge: Hon. William F. Highberger Petitioner, Dept: 13 VS. **CROSS-DEFENDANT CASITAS** MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT'S TRIAL 14 STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL **BRIEF FOR PHASE 1 OF TRIAL** BOARD, a California State Agency; 15 CITY OF SAN BUENA VENTURA, a Date Action Filed: September 19, 2014 California municipal corporation, incorrectly March 16, 2022 Trial Date: 16 named as CITY OF BUENA VENTURA, 17 Respondents. 18 19 CITY OF SAN BUENA VENTURA, a California municipal corporation, 20 Cross-Complainant, 21 VS. 22 DUNCAN ABBOTT, et al., 23 Cross-Defendant. 24 25 26 27 28

Rutan & Tucker, LLP attorneys at law

-1-

2512/029518-0003 17555509.2 a03/02/22

TRIAL STATEMENT

As this Court has observed on a number of occasions, Casitas Municipal Water District ("Casitas") is somewhat uniquely situated in the Ventura River Watershed. It's one of the largest water suppliers in Ventura County, supplying between 60-70,000 customers per year, to customers that include the City of Ventura and the City of Ojai. It stores water from the Ventura River and its tributaries behind Casitas Dam and Reservoir, water that is then supplied to customers within the City of Ventura, the City of Ojai and other unincorporated portions of Ventura County. Casitas is also an importer of water from Northern California into the Region.

What is perhaps less known is that a significant portion of Casitas' water supply is derived from Casitas' groundwater wells within the Ojai Basin—wells that Casitas contends, based upon the scientific evidence that will be presented at trial—do not cause material diminution of flows in the Ventura River below Casitas' wellfield in the Ojai Basin. Casitas already participates substantially in the protection of the Steelhead Trout by bypassing extensive flows that would otherwise be diverted to and stored in Lake Casitas, in a part of the country where water is scarce, while demands—consumptive and non-consumptive—are open ended. If Casitas is going to be even further restricted in its ability to provide local water supplies to its customers, it should be for a compelling reason, supported by strong science. That is the reason that Casitas decided to actively participate in this first phase of trial. The Court's determination regarding interconnection had to be based upon strong science.

To date, that strong science has not materialized. Two models have been developed for use in this phase of the litigation, each centered around the issue of interconnectivity of surface and groundwater in the Ventura River Watershed. Neither is based on good science, and neither is appropriate for projecting the potential impacts of pumping in the Ojai and Upper Ojai Basin on downstream flows.

One model is of very recent vintage, developed in secret by the City of Ventura ("Ventura"). The model developer was Claire Archer, a junior level staffer at Cardno, freshly out of graduate school, and with no experience modeling surface water/groundwater relationships in coastal Southern California. Nevertheless, Archer, who is not a licensed hydrogeologist in

1	California, was asked to create a groundwater/surface water model for the entire Ventura River	
2	Watershed at the request and under the direction of Ventura's litigation attorneys at Best, Best and	
3	Krieger, who controlled model development and outcomes at every stage. The model has one	
4	layer, that appears to entirely misunderstand the way that the Ojai Basin behaves, entirely ignores	
5	the role of aquitards, greatly overestimates both groundwater and surface water levels, erroneously	
6	relies on the same device of artificially refilling the Basin that the State relies upon, and routinely	
7	predicts results that do not match up with observed data and measurements in the real world.	
8	Casitas will call one of California's most experienced hydrogeologists, Mr. Randall Hanson, a	
9	veteran of 38 years with the U.S. Geological Survey, and a scientist who wrote much of the model	
10	code upon which the Archer Model is based, to opine that the Archer Model simply is not ready	
11	for prime time, and that her opinions based upon the model are unreliable and speculative.	
12	Similarly the California State Water Resources Control Board ("State Water Board"), and	
13	the California Department of Fish and Wildlife ("CDFW"), at the behest of CalTrout and other	
14	aligned environmental advocacy groups, are also in the process of developing a model, the	
15	"preliminary draft" Ventura River Watershed Model ("VRW Model"), which is the subject of a	
16	motion in limine filed by Casitas earlier today. It too is incomplete and produces results that are	
17	speculative, unreliable and in conflict with measured data in the watershed. Dr. James McCord, a	
18	hydrogeologist with decades of experience building and critiquing groundwater-surface water	
19	models will explain why the State's model regarding interconnectivity is unreliable as it ignores	
20	the aquitard in the Ojai Basin, and it produces projected results that do not match up with real	

Though Casitas is not seeking to be dismissed from the case given its extensive activities within the Ventura River Watershed, it does want to ensure that the determinations made by the Court are premised upon sound science. To date, for the reasons that will be adduced from evidence at trial, Casitas believes that the City of Ventura has not met its burden of demonstrating that groundwater pumping in the Ojai Basin materially affects downstream surface water flows. For this reason, Casitas also concurs with, and incorporates by reference herein, the arguments

made by the City of Ojai in its trial brief filed today with the Court.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

world data.

1	We look forward to presenting our evidence at trial.	
2		
3	Dated: March 2, 2022	Respectfully submitted
4		RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP JEREMY N. JUNGREIS
5		DOUGLAS J. DENNINGTON
6		By:
7		Jeremy N. Jungreis Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER
8		CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT a California special district
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
r, LLP		4

Rutan & Tucker, LLF attorneys at law