

FOR COURT USE ONLY

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):

Gene Tanaka, SBN 101423

Shawn Hagerty, SBN 182435/Sarah C. Foley, SBN 277223

Best Best & Krieger LLP

2001 N. Main Street, Suite 390, Walnut Creek, CA 94596

TELEPHONE NO.: (925) 977-3300

FAX NO. (Optional): (925) 977-1870

E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): gene.tanaka@bbklaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR (Name): City of San Buenaventura

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles

STREET ADDRESS: 312 N. Spring Street

MAILING ADDRESS:

CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012

BRANCH NAME: Complex Division

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Santa Barbara Channelkeeper

DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

SEP 30 2019

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

By: Isaac Lovo, Deputy

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT
OR ORDER

CASE NUMBER:

19STCP01176

(Check one):

UNLIMITED CASE
(Amount demanded
exceeded \$25,000)

LIMITED CASE
(Amount demanded was
\$25,000 or less)

TO ALL PARTIES :

1. A judgment, decree, or order was entered in this action on (date): August 23, 2019

2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.

Date: September 30, 2019

Gene Tanaka

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF

ATTORNEY

PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)



Gene Tanaka

(SIGNATURE)

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Santa Barbara Channelkeeper DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: State Water Resources Control Board, et al.	CASE NUMBER: 19STCP01176
--	-----------------------------

**PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER**

(NOTE: You cannot serve the Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order if you are a party in the action. The person who served the notice must complete this proof of service.)

1. I am at least 18 years old and **not a party to this action**. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took place, and my residence or business address is *(specify)*:

*** via electronic service. See attached Proof of Service.***

2. I served a copy of the *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* by enclosing it in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid and *(check one)*:

- a. deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service.
- b. placed the sealed envelope for collection and processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, with which I am readily familiar. On the same day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

3. The *Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order* was mailed:

- a. on *(date)*:
- b. from *(city and state)*:

4. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:

- | | |
|--|--|
| a. Name of person served:

Street address:
City:
State and zip code: | c. Name of person served:

Street address:
City:
State and zip code: |
| b. Name of person served:

Street address:
City:
State and zip code: | d. Name of person served:

Street address:
City:
State and zip code: |

Names and addresses of additional persons served are attached. *(You may use form POS-030(P).)*

5. Number of pages attached _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT) ▶ (SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)



RECEIVED
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

AUG 21 2019

S. DREW

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

AUG 23 2019

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
By Aldwin Lim Deputy

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER,
a California non-profit corporation,

Petitioner,

v.

STATE WATER RESOURCES
CONTROL BOARD, a California State
Agency;
CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA, a
California municipal corporation,
incorrectly named as CITY OF
BUENAVENTURA,

Respondents.

CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA, a
California municipal corporation,

Cross-Complainant,

v.

DUNCAN ABBOTT, an individual, et al.

Cross-Defendants.

Case No. 19STCP01176
Judge: Honorable William F. Highberger

~~Proposed~~ ORDER FOR STAY

[Filed with Stipulation for Stay]

Action Filed: Sept. 19, 2014
Trial Date: Not Set

1
2
3 [Proposed] ORDER

4 Having read and considered the stipulation of the parties and for good cause shown,

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

6
7 1. This proceeding shall be stayed, except with respect to:

8 A. The following actions to notify and name any additional parties to this
9 proceeding:

- 10 (1) Motion for approval of notice of adjudication and form answer
11 made pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 836;
12 (2) Notice to and service of any and all interested parties to the
13 comprehensive adjudication necessary to establish in rem
14 jurisdiction and the comprehensive effect of the comprehensive
15 adjudication pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 835 and
16 836;
17 (3) Requests for information made pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure
18 section 836.5;
19 (4) Intervention pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 837 and
20 387.5;

21 B. The following actions to exchange information necessary to negotiate the
22 terms of a physical solution:

- 23 (1) Initial disclosures under Code of Civil Procedure section 842.
24 Parties who have appeared in this action and have less than 60 days
25 under section 842 to provide their initial disclosures shall have 60
26 days to do so;
27 (2) The voluntary exchange of information by the Mediating Parties
28 pursuant to the Memorandum of Understanding agreed to on April

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

22, 2019;

(3) Information requested pursuant to the California Public Records Act; and

(4) Any other information the parties agree is necessary for settlement discussions;

C. Actions necessary to conduct case management, including but not limited to case management conducted pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 840.


2. Any dispute arising from the scope of the stay described in Section 1 above shall be resolved through a request for an informal conference before this Court.

3. The stay shall remain in place as long as the parties determine that settlement discussions continue to be meaningful and productive.

4. The stay may be lifted by the request of any party to this proceeding that is filed with at least fourteen (14) days' notice.

5. The parties expressly reserve and do not waive any and all rights to bring preliminary motions, including, but not limited to, motions to stay pursuant to Water Code section 10737.2 and Code of Civil Procedure section 848, and challenging jurisdiction over surface water users after this temporary stay is lifted.

Dated: August 23, 2019

By: 
The Honorable William F. Highberger
Judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court