Original

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGE	LES
3	DEPARTMENT SSC-10 HON. WILLIAM F.	HIGHBERGER, JUDGE
4		
5	SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER,)	
6	PLAINTIFF,	CASE NO.
7	VS.	19STCP01176
8	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD,) ET AL.,	
9	DEFENDANTS.	
10		
11	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.	
12		
13		
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCE	EDINGS
15	FRIDAY, JULY 23, 2021	
16		
17	APPEARANCES:	
18	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: ATTORNEY GENERAL OF T CALIFORNIA	HE STATE OF
19	BY: MARC N. MELNICK, 1515 CLAY STREET, 20T	H FLOOR
20	OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 9 510.879.0750	4612
21		
22	FOR DEFENDANT CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA:	
23	BEST, BEST, & KRIEGER BY: CHRISTOPHER M. P	ISANO, ESQ.
24	SHAWN D. HAGERTY 300 SOUTH GRAND AVENU	E, 25TH FLOOR
25	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORN 213.617.8100	TA 90071
26	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE.)
27	KAREN VILICICH, CSR NO. 7634, CRR	
28	OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE CCROLA JOB NO. 163893	

BOARD, ET AL., 19STCP01176

July 23, 2021

Original

1	APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)
2	
3	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANT CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT:
4	RUTAN & TUCKER BY: DAVID B. COSGROVE, ESQ.
5	611 ANTON BOULEVARD, SUITE 1400 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626
6	714.641.5100
7	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANT THE WOOD-CLACYSSENS FOUNDATION:
8	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK BY: BRADLEY J. HERREMA, ESQ.
9	2049 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 3550 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 310.500.4600
11	(THE FOLLOWING APPEARANCES APPEARED VIA L.A. COURT CONNECT:)
12	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANTS ROBERT C. DAVIS, JR., ETC. ET AL.:
13	MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT
14	BY: GREGORY J. PATTERSON, ESQ. 2801 TOWNSGATE ROAD, SUITE 200
15	WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CALIFORNIA 91361 805.418.3103
16	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANT CITY OF OJAI:
17	BARTKIEWICZ, KRONICK & SHANAHAN
18	BY: HOLLY JACOBSON, ESQ. 1011 TWENTY-SECOND STREET
19	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95816 916.446.4254
20	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANT JEFF BACON AS TRUSTEE OF THE VILLA NERO
21	TRUST:
22	ALLEN, MATKINS, LECK, GAMBLE, MALLORY & NATSIS
23	BY: DAVID L. OSIAS, ESQ. 600 WEST BROADWAY, 27TH FLOOR
24	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 619.233.1155
25	FOR LOA E. BLISS 2006 REVOCABLE TRUST:
26	LOA E. BLISS, IN PRO PER
27	FOR CLAUDE R. BAGGERLY AND PATRICIA E. BAGGERLY:
28	CLAUDE R. BAGGERLY, IN PRO PER

BOARD, ET AL., 19STCP01176 July 23, 2021 Original

1	INDEX
2	FRIDAY, JULY 23, 2021
3	
4	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES
5	(NONE OFFERED.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	EXHIBITS
13	(NONE.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

BOARD, ET AL., 19STCP01176

July 23, 2021

Original

1	CASE NUMBER:	19STCP01176
2	CASE NAME:	S.B.C. VS. S.W.R.C.B.
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	FRIDAY, JULY 23, 2021
4	DEPARTMENT SSC-10	HON. WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER, JUDGE
5	REPORTER:	KAREN VILICICH, CSR NO. 7634
6	TIME:	P.M. SESSION
7		
8	(THE FOLLOW	ING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
9	IN OPEN CO	URT, WITH SOME PARTICIPANTS
10	APPEARING	REMOTELY:)
11		
12		
13	THE COURT: SANTA	BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER VERSUS STATE
14	WATER RESOURCES CONTROL	BOARD.
15	OFF THE REC	CORD.
16		
17	(DISCUSSION HELD O	FF THE RECORD.)
18		
19	THE COURT: BACK O	N THE RECORD.
20	WE HAD TO A	BORT THINGS MONDAY WHEN WE HAD SOUND
21	PROBLEMS. AT THE TIME,	WE WERE CLOSE TO BEING DONE WITH
22	MR. BAGGERLY'S MOTION AB	OUT A COURT-APPOINTED EXPERT, BUT I
23	HAD NOT BEEN ABLE TO ANN	OUNCE A RULING.
24	SO AT THIS	POINT, AS TO MR. BAGGERLY'S MOTION,
25	THE COURT'S DISPOSITION	IS TO TRAIL THE MOTION TO OUR NEXT
26	STATUS MOTION CONFERENCE	IN AUGUST. AT THAT TIME, SEE IF
27	ANYTHING ABOUT THE STATE	OF PLAY SUGGESTS TO THE COURT OUGHT
28	TO AFFIRMATIVELY SEEK TO	APPOINT AN EXPERT.

Original

	2
1	I HAVE VIA THE FILE&SERVE XPRESS BULLETIN BOARD
2	ADVISED YOU OF THE POST-HEARING RESPONSE I SENT TO THE TWO
3	PEOPLE FROM THE NATIONAL JUDICIAL COLLEGE DIVIDING THE
4	WATERS CONFERENCE WITH A COPY ALSO TO JUSTICE ROBIE. AND
5	WHILE I DIDN'T SEEK A RESPONSE THERETO, I GOT ONE, WHICH WAS
6	SHARED WITH YOU IN THOSE POSTINGS.
7	MR. BAGGERLY, CAN YOU UNMUTE AND TELL ME IF YOU
8	NEED TO BE HEARD FURTHER OR IF YOU AT THIS POINT ACCEPT THE
9	COURT'S RULING TO CONTINUE YOUR MOTION TO THE AUGUST STATUS
10	CONFERENCE?
11	MR. BAGGERLY?
12	MR. BAGGERLY: THANK YOU VERY THANK YOU, MR
13	JUDGE HIGHBERGER. I THINK YOU ARE MAKING A WISE DECISION
14	AND I SUPPORT IT.
15	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
16	COUNSEL FOR THE CITY OF VENTURA, AS IT'S
17	COMMONLY KNOWN, MR. HAGERTY, DO YOU WISH TO BE HEARD
18	FURTHER?
19	MR. PISANO: YOUR HONOR, WE ARE FINE WITH THE COURT
20	TRAILING THIS UNTIL THE NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE.
21	CHRIS PISANO.
22	THE COURT: ANYBODY ELSE WANT TO BE HEARD?
23	MR. BAGGERLY: THIS IS MR. BAGGERLY AGAIN.
24	THE COURT: MR. BAGGERLY, DO YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING
25	MORE?
26	MR. BAGGERLY: JUST ONE. HOW DO YOU GUYS GET USED TO
27	HANGING FIRE FOR ALL THIS TIME? THIS IS GOING TO MAKE ME A
28	NERVOUS WRECK. ANYWAY, I LIKE YOUR RULINGS.

Original

3

1 THE COURT: THINGS GO SLOWLY IN THE PRACTICE OF LAW 2 OFT TIMES, SO IT'S NOT JUST IN ITALY THAT THE LEGAL SYSTEM 3 IS SLOW. IN SOME ASPECTS, IT'S EQUALLY SLOW IN THE UNITED STATES. SOMETIMES IT'S BECAUSE THE COURT HAS LIMITED 4 5 THROUGHPUT, AND SOMETIMES IT'S BECAUSE THE LAWYERS OR THE 6 PARTIES DON'T WANT THINGS TO HAPPEN QUICKLY. THERE ARE ALL 7 SORTS OF REASONS WHY IT TAKES A LONG TIME FOR THE LEGAL 8 SYSTEM TO REACH A RESULT. 9 ANYWAY, SO THAT TOOK CARE OF THAT MOTION. NOW WE TURN TO THE QUESTION OF THE PHASING OF 10 11 DISCOVERY. I HAVE THE BENEFIT OF THE CITY OF VENTURA'S 12 BRIEFS, AND SEVERAL BRIEFS EXPRESSING A CONTRARY VIEW, AS 13 WELL AS THE CITY OF VENTURA'S REPLY BRIEF. 14 MY UNDERSTANDING IS THERE IS NO CASE ON POINT 15 THAT DEALS WITH THE INTERPLAY -- THERE IS NO CASE THAT I AM 16 AWARE OF THAT DEALS WITH THE INTERPLAY OF THE STREAMLINED 17 GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION STATUTE, PARTICULARLY THE 18 PROVISIONS OF SECTION 843, VERSUS THE GENERAL PROVISIONS OF 19 THE CIVIL DISCOVERY ACT REGARDING EXPERT WITNESSES. 2.0 THEREFORE, I AM DEALING WITH A QUESTION OF FIRST IMPRESSION. 21 ALTHOUGH THE CITY OF VENTURA DOES ITS BEST TO MAKE AN ELEGANT ARGUMENT THAT THE SIMULTANEOUS EXCHANGE 22 23 PROVISIONS, WHICH ARE QUITE OBVIOUS IN THE GENERAL 24 PROVISIONS OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, ARE NOT IN 25 CONFLICT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE STREAMLINED GROUNDWATER 26 ADJUDICATION ACT AT SECTION 843, I AM PERSUADED OTHERWISE

THAT THE LEGISLATURE'S CONSCIOUS CHOICE THAT THE COURT

COULD, IN A STREAMLINED GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION ACT,

27

Original

1	SEQUENCE THE EXPERT DISCOVERY IF THE COURT CHOSE TO DO SO,
2	IS AN EXPRESS GRANT OF THE AUTHORITY I OTHERWISE WOULDN'T
3	HAVE. THE GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION STATUTE IS NEWER THAN
4	THE CIVIL DISCOVERY ACT, ALTHOUGH THE LEGISLATURE WILL
5	DIDDLE WITH ASPECTS OF THE CIVIL DISCOVERY ACT EVERY NOW AND
6	THEN WHEN THE SPIRIT MOVES THEM.
7	BUT FOR THESE PURPOSES, THE SPECIFICITY OF THE
8	STREAMLINED GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION ACT I THINK PROVIDES A
9	BASIS TO DETERMINE THAT THAT DOES GIVE ME THIS AUTHORITY.
10	THE CITY OF VENTURA IS CORRECT THAT THE CLAIMS
11	IN THIS CASE GO BEYOND CLAIMS SPECIFICALLY SUBJECT TO THE
12	GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION STATUTE, I.E. THE REPAIRING CLAIMS
13	THAT ARE ALSO AT ISSUE. BUT IT'S IN MY VIEW, AS LONG AS
14	THEY ARE, AS THEY INDISPUTABLY ARE, ADJUDICATION CLAIMS
15	BEFORE ME, THAT DISCOVERY IN CONNECTION WITH THAT, WHICH IS
16	CERTAINLY WHAT THE EXPERT DISCOVERY IS, CAN BE CONTROLLED BY
17	THE COURT DUE TO THE EXERCISE OF THE DISCRETION PRUDENTLY
18	GRANTED TO ME BY THE LEGISLATURE IN THE COMPREHENSIVE
19	GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION STATUTE. THEREFORE, I DO BELIEVE I
20	HAVE THOSE POWERS AND I INTEND TO EXERCISE THEM AND TO MAKE
21	THE CITY OF VENTURA GO FIRST.
22	CITY OF VENTURA OTHERWISE INDICATED A
23	WILLINGNESS TO PUT ITS CARDS ON THE TABLE, ALBEIT IT WANTED
24	IT TO BE SIMULTANEOUS, AS EARLY AS I BELIEVE IT WAS
25	AUGUST 24 OR MAYBE JUST THE WORDS, "END OF AUGUST." ALBEIT,
26	THE CITY ONLY WANTED IT TO HAPPEN THAT WAY IF IT WAS GOING

BUT THE COURT WILL TAKE THE CITY UP ON ITS

27

28

TO BE MUTUAL.

Original

5

THE

1 OTHERWISE STATED ABILITY TO PUT ITS EXPERT OUT THERE BY THE 2 END OF AUGUST. I GUESS THE CITY'S REFERENCE WAS "A DATE IN 3 LATE AUGUST." SO FOR THESE PURPOSES, I WOULD TAKE "DATE IN 4 LATE AUGUST" TO MEAN TUESDAY, AUGUST 31, WITH RESPONSE BY 5 PEOPLE, LIKE THE STATE, OF WHAT WOULD, IN MY VIEW, BE 6 CONSIDERED MAJOR PLAYERS, DUE ON SEPTEMBER 24, WITH OTHER 7 PARTIES WHO HAVEN'T YET RETAINED AN EXPERT ENTITLED TO 8 SUBMIT SOMETHING ON SEPTEMBER 24 SAYING THAT THEY WANT TO 9 RESERVE THE RIGHT AS MINOR PLAYERS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR 10 NOT THEY ARE GOING TO OFFER AN EXPERT AND TO MAKE THEIR 11 DISCLOSURE, IF AT ALL, ON OCTOBER 22 OF THIS YEAR. 12 SO THAT WILL BE MY VIEW OF HOW THIS OUGHT TO GO 13 DOWN. THIS IS NOT HOW THE CITY OF VENTURA WANTS IT TO GO 14 DOWN, SO I WILL HEAR FROM YOU, MR. PISANO, MR. HAGERTY, AS 15 YOU WISH. 16 MR. PISANO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: OH, AND TAKE A WRIT IF YOU WANT BETWEEN 18 NOW AND AUGUST 31. IT'S A QUESTION OF FIRST IMPRESSION, WE 19 WOULD PROBABLY BENEFIT BY APPELLATE AUTHORITY. 2.0 THINK IT'S TERRIBLY SIGNIFICANT FROM A CASE DISPOSITIVE 21 POINT OF VIEW, SO I DON'T THINK IT JUSTIFIES CERTIFICATION 22 UNDER CCP 166.1, BUT IF YOU FEEL IT'S WORTHY OF WRIT, I 23 COULD CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND WHY YOU MIGHT WANT TO DO SO. 24 MR. PISANO: I APPRECIATE THAT COMMENT, YOUR HONOR. 25 THE COURT: THERE IS TIME ENOUGH TO DO IT, BETWEEN NOW 26 AND AUGUST 31. 27 MR. PISANO: RIGHT. UNDERSTOOD AND I APPRECIATE THE

COMMENT AND APPRECIATE THE COURT'S ATTENTION TO THIS.

6

1 COURT HAS OBVIOUSLY READ ALL THE BRIEFS AND THE ARGUMENTS. 2 YOU ARE RIGHT, THERE IS NO CASE THAT TALKS ABOUT THE 3 INTERPLAY BETWEEN 843 AND CHAPTER 18 OF THE DISCOVERY ACT, WHICH IS THE EXPERT EXCHANGE. 4 I RESPECTFULLY DISAGREE WITH THE COURT'S 5 6 ANALYSIS THAT THE STATUTES ARE EXPRESSLY IN CONFLICT OR I 7 DISAGREE WITH THAT, BUT I DON'T THINK IT COULD BE STATED 8 BETTER THAN IT IS IN THE PAPERS. SO I WILL LET THE ARGUMENT 9 IN THE PAPERS SPEAK FOR ITSELF. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT, YOUR HONOR, IS 10 11 THAT THE DEFAULT PROVISION, THE DEFAULT PROVISION IN THE 12 ADJUDICATION STATUTE IN 843 IS FOR A SIMULTANEOUS EXCHANGE. 13 I THINK IF THE COURT IS GOING TO SEQUENCE IT DIFFERENTLY AND 14 FORCE ONE PARTY TO GO FIRST, THE PARTIES THAT WANT THAT HAVE 15 TO MAKE A SHOWING OF GOOD CAUSE. 16 THE COURT: I THINK, FRANKLY, THE AGGREGATE ASSERTIONS 17 OF THE REASON WHY THE CITY OF VENTURA, WHICH HAS BEEN IN 18 THIS EXERCISE THE LONGEST AND IS THE CURRENT PROPONENT OF 19 THE PHYSICAL SOLUTION, HAVE SHOWN WHAT TO ME IS MORE THAN 2.0 SUFFICIENT CAUSE. YOUR REPLY ARGUES TO A CONTRARY RESULT, 21 BUT I AM PERSUADED THAT IN THE AGGREGATE, THE SEVERAL 22 OPPOSITION BRIEFS HAVE SHOWN THE LOGIC OF IT. I COULD 23 INDEPENDENTLY STATE IT AS I TRIED TO BY SAYING ESSENTIALLY 24 YOU ARE FIRST TO THE PARTY, YOU HAVE A PHYSICAL SOLUTION YOU 25 LIKE THAT PRESUMABLY WORKS, THIS IS AN EXPERT-DRIVEN CASE AT 26 THE END OF THE DAY, IT'S NOT GOING TO BE A "WHO RAN THE RED

EXPERT PERSUASIVENESS EXERCISE.

LIGHT" KIND OF A FACT-FINDING EXERCISE. IT'S GOING TO BE AN

27

BOARD, ET AL., 19STCP01176

July 23, 2021

Original

SO I THINK THERE ARE LOTS OF GOOD CASE

MANAGEMENT REASONS TO HAVE THE CITY OF VENTURA GO FIRST.

MR. PISANO: YOUR HONOR, I APPRECIATE THAT

PERSPECTIVE. I WOULD REQUEST THAT THE COURT THINK ABOUT THE

FLIP SIDE OF THE COIN, WHICH IS THAT THERE ARE SOME CROSS
DEFENDANTS, PARTICULARLY THE EAST OJAI GROUP, THEY HAVE

INDICATED THEY HAVE AN EXPERT. THEY ARE IN ALL LIKELIHOOD

GOING TO COME IN WITH AN OPINION THAT IS CONTRARY TO OURS.

THE COURT: THEN THEY WILL PUT IT ON THE TABLE ON SEPTEMBER 24TH AND YOUR EXPERT WILL HAVE PLENTY OF TIME BETWEEN THEN AND TRIAL TO TWEAK HIS OPINION TO BE READY TO RESPOND TO THE SOPHISTRY OF THE EAST OJAI EXPERTS.

MR. PISANO: AND I HAVE -- WE WILL OBVIOUSLY DO THE BEST WITH WHAT WE HAVE TO WORK WITH, BUT THE POINT, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT THE WHOLE POINT OF THE DEFAULT BEING A SIMULTANEOUS EXCHANGE IN BOTH THE CIVIL DISCOVERY ACT AND THE ADJUDICATION STATUTE IS THAT THE LEGISLATURE RECOGNIZES THAT IT IS INHERENTLY UNFAIR TO MAKE ONE PARTY GO FIRST AND GIVE THE PARTY -- GIVE THE ADVERSARY TIME WITH THE EXPERT'S REPORT SUCH THAT THEIR EXPERTS CAN REALLY FOCUS THEIR ANALYSIS ON TRYING TO REFUTE WHAT IS IN THE FIRST TO-GO'S EXPERT REPORT, RATHER THAN EACH SIDE JUST PUTTING THEIR OPINIONS ON THE TABLE.

THE COURT: BUT THEN THERE IS NO REASON FOR THE LEGISLATURE TO ALLOW THE COURT TO HAVE SEQUENCING IN A STATUTE THAT WAS PASSED AFTER THIS LAWSUIT WAS FIRST FILED. IT'S A NEW STATUTE. THE STATUTE IS YOUNGER THAN THE LAWSUIT.

2.0

Original

1	MR. PISANO: I UNDERSTAND THAT, YOUR HONOR. BUT THE
2	POINT THE POINT I AM MAKING IS THAT THE FLIP SIDE
3	ARGUMENT ON THE GOOD CAUSE IS THAT IT IS INHERENTLY UNFAIR
4	TO MAKE ONE SIDE'S EXPERT GO FIRST. IT DOES PUT THEM AT AN
5	INHERENT DISADVANTAGE. SO THERE REALLY DOES NEED TO BE A
6	STRONG SHOWING OF GOOD CAUSE.
7	THE COURT: I FOUND IT.
8	MR. PISANO: WELL, IF THE COURT HAS FOUND GOOD CAUSE.
9	THE COURT: I HAVE. IN MY VIEW, I FOUND GOOD CAUSE ON
10	THIS RECORD.
11	MR. PISANO: OKAY. FAIR ENOUGH, YOUR HONOR. I WON'T
12	BEAT MY HEAD INTO A BRICK WALL. THANK YOU FOR THE
13	OPPORTUNITY TO ARGUE.
14	THE COURT: ANYBODY FROM EAST OJAI OR OTHERWISE OR
15	CITY OF OJAI WANT TO BE HEARD?
16	MR. PATTERSON: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS GREG PATTERSON.
17	WE ARE OBVIOUSLY IN COMPLETE AGREEMENT WITH THE
18	COURT'S CONCLUSION. I THINK IT PROVIDES SOME EFFICIENCY AND
19	SOME LOWER COSTS TO A WHOLE BUNCH OF PEOPLE WHO ARE BEING
20	BURDENED BY EXPENSIVE EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY. SO I AM
21	THAT'S IT FOR ME. THANK YOU.
22	THE COURT: OKAY. ANYBODY ELSE WANT TO BE HEARD?
23	YOU DON'T NEED TO SPEAK UP. I AM SATISFIED
24	WITH THIS CONCLUSION.
25	MR. COSGROVE OR MR. HERREMA?
26	MR. COSGROVE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. DAVID COSGROVE
27	FOR CASITAS.
28	I RISE ONLY TO A POINT OF CLARIFICATION. IT

Original

1	APPEARS THAT YOUR TENTATIVE HAS TWO DIFFERENT SORT
2	CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE PARTIES THAT WILL BE EXCHANGING, ONE
3	IS A MINOR OR MAJOR PLAYER AND THE OTHER IS EXPERT-RETAINED
4	OR NOT. CASITAS FALLS ON BOTH SIDES OF THAT. I THINK WE
5	WOULD HAVE A HARD TIME CHARACTERIZING OURSELVES AS A MINOR
6	PLAYER, BUT WE HAVEN'T RETAINED AN EXPERT. SO I WONDERED IF
7	YOU MIGHT CLARIFY WHICH OF THOSE TWO CHARACTERISTICS IS
8	GOING TO BE THE DETERMINATE ONE.
9	THANK YOU.
10	THE COURT: WELL, GIVEN THAT YOU WILL SEE THE CITY OF
11	VENTURA'S REPORT AT THE END OF AUGUST AND HAVE A MONTH TO
12	RETAIN AN EXPERT, WOULD YOU EXPECT TO BE RETAINING AN EXPERT
13	BY SEPTEMBER 24TH TO RESPOND TO WHAT YOU VIEW TO BE AS A
14	SUSPECT EXPERT REPORT BY THE CITY OF VENTURA?
15	MR. COSGROVE: AT THIS POINT, WE DON'T KNOW THAT WE DO
16	CONSIDER IT SUSPECT AND WE WOULD RESERVE JUDGMENT ON THAT
17	UNTIL WE SEE IT.
18	THE COURT: WELL, I THINK THE SORT OUGHT TO BE MAJOR
19	VERSUS MINOR AND NOT RETAINED OR NOT. SO YOU NEED TO PUT
20	YOUR CARDS ON THE TABLE ON THE 24TH OR RISK ONLY BEING ABLE
21	TO OFFER A BONA FIDE REBUTTAL EXPERT.
22	MR. COSGROVE: I HAVE THE CLARIFICATION I REQUESTED.
23	THANK YOU.
24	THE COURT: OKAY. OTHERS WISH TO BE HEARD?
25	MS. JACOBSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS HOLLY
26	JACOBSON FOR THE CITY OF OJAI.
27	THE COURT: YES, MA'AM.
28	MS. JACOBSON: I HAVE A SIMILAR HOUSEKEEPING QUESTION

1	FOR YOU REGARDING EXACTLY WHO ARE THE MAJOR PLAYERS FOR THE
2	SEPTEMBER 24TH DEADLINE. THE CITY OF OJAI HAS A TOTAL
3	POPULATION OF APPROXIMATELY 7,000 PEOPLE. WE DON'T CONSIDER
4	OURSELVES TO BE A MAJOR PLAYER, BUT I WOULD LIKE
5	CLARIFICATION ON THE RECORD.
6	THE COURT: MR. PISANO, WHO WOULD YOU CONSIDER TO BE
7	MAJOR PLAYERS? THE STATE SURELY; RIGHT?
8	MR. PISANO: THE STATE, OBVIOUSLY. CASITAS. I WOULD
9	CONSIDER THE CITY OF OJAI TO BE A MAJOR PLAYER. THEY ARE
10	LOOKING AT THIS ISSUE, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: I DON'T DISAGREE WITH YOU. I AM NOT
12	MAKING A RULING, BUT IF YOU KEEP GOING ON WITH YOUR LIST AND
13	TRYING THEM IN RANK ORDER, TRY RANK ORDER FIRST, CASITAS
14	NUMBER ONE?
15	MR. PISANO: YES, I WOULD PUT I WOULD PUT THE STATE
16	AGENCIES AS NUMBER ONE, CASITAS AS NUMBER TWO, AND I WOULD
17	PUT THE EAST OJAI GROUP AND THE CITY OF OJAI MAYBE BATTLING
18	IT OUT FOR THE BRONZE.
19	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A FIFTH OR IT STOPS WITH THE
20	SHARED BRONZE?
21	MR. PISANO: I THINK IT STOPS WITH THE SHARED BRONZE.
22	THE COURT: MR. PATTERSON, DO YOU HAVE A RETAINED
23	EXPERT OR YOU EXPECT TO HAVE ONE BY SEPTEMBER 24?
24	MR. PATTERSON: WE HAVE A RETAINED EXPERT. I AM
25	CURRENTLY DEALING WITH TWO ISSUES, YOUR HONOR. ONE IS WHO
26	IN MY GROUP WANTS TO SUPPORT AN EXPERT WITNESS IN LITIGATION
27	ISSUE FRANKLY. AND TWO, I'M GETTING MULTIPLE PHONE CALLS

FROM LOTS OF PEOPLE WHO ARE LOOKING AROUND FOR EXPERTS AND

Original

1 ARE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO HANDLE THAT.

2.0

SO, YOU KNOW, WE CAN MEET -- I TALKED TO MY
EXPERT AND WE CAN MEET THE SEPTEMBER 24TH PROPOSAL, BUT
THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT WE MAY BE COMING BACK TO THE
COURT SAYING THAT CIRCUMSTANCES HAVE CHANGED AND WE NEED TO
DEAL WITH THAT TO ALLOW ALL PARTIES TO PARTICIPATE WITH
EXPERTS WHO WANT TO -- IT'S A COMPLICATED ISSUE FOR US
BECAUSE WE HAVE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, WE HAVE WHO IS WHO,
WHERE, AND WHEN.

SO IT'S PRETTY COMPLICATED, BUT WE ARE -- THE GROUP AS CURRENTLY CONSTITUTED WILL MEET THE SEPTEMBER 24TH RESPONSE DATE. WE CAN DO THAT. I JUST WANT TO LET THE COURT KNOW THAT THERE MAY BE SOME ISSUES THAT COME UP GIVEN THE NUMBER OF PARTIES WHO WERE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO DEAL WITH THIS WITH RESPECT TO THEIR OWN PROPERTIES. THERE MAY BE SOME ISSUES THAT COME UP.

THE COURT: THANK YOU. SO IN ADDITION TO THE STATE
AND CASITAS, WOULD I SEE THE EAST OJAI GROUP TO BE A MAJOR
PLAYER SUBJECT TO THE SEPTEMBER 24TH DEADLINE AND
MS. JACOBSON?

YES, I WOULD ALSO PUT THE CITY OF OJAI IN THAT CATEGORY NOT WITHSTANDING THAT IT'S ONLY A POPULATION OF 7,000. IT'S A REGISTERED IMPORTANT HEADWATERS TO THE VENTURA RIVER. AND I THINK ALSO AS A GOVERNMENT ENTITY, IT HAS A TAX BASE AND IT HAS AN ABILITY TO ACT AS SOMETHING OF A HUB WITH SOME OF THE RESIDENTS, BOTH THOSE WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF OJAI AND THOSE THAT MAY PERHAPS BE A TAD EAST OR OTHERWISE BEYOND THE CITY LIMITS NEVERTHELESS

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

Original

1 FINDING THEMSELVES ALIGNED WITH THE CITY.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SO I THINK IT IS EQUITABLE TO EXPECT THE CITY TO MAKE A YES/NO COMMITMENT BY SEPTEMBER 24 AS TO WHETHER OR NOT YOU ARE HIRING AN EXPERT.

IF YOU, LIKE MR. PATTERSON'S TYPE OF CIRCUMSTANCE, KNOW YOU ARE GOING TO RETAIN AN EXPERT BUT FOR SOME REASON HAVE NOT GOTTEN FAR ENOUGH DOWN THE ROAD TO BE ABLE TO DO EVERYTHING THAT THE GROUNDWATER STATUTE CONTEMPLATES BECAUSE THAT DOES CONTEMPLATE REPORTS, NOT JUST LAWYER DECLARATIONS, MAKE AN EX PARTE APPLICATION TO THE COURT FOR GOOD CAUSE AFTER YOU HAVE TRIED TO NEGOTIATE WITH MR. PISANO AND MR. HAGERTY FOR MORE TIME.

MS. JACOBSON: YOUR HONOR, THANK YOU. I WOULD JUST SAY THAT AFTER SOME OF OUR PRIOR CONFERENCES AND INVITATIONS TO HELP STREAMLINE THE EFFORT OF THE INDIVIDUAL LANDOWNERS AND SIMILARLY-SITUATED PERSONS, OJAI HAS TAKEN A BIT OF THE LABORING OAR TO SEE IF WE CAN HELP STREAMLINE THAT AND LOOKING TOWARD WAYS TO DO THAT. THEREFORE, I DO NOT BELIEVE THE SEPTEMBER 24TH DEADLINE IS SOMETHING THAT WE CAN MEET IF THE DEADLINE WERE TO DISCLOSE THE EXPERT AND THE EXPERT REPORT. AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THE DEADLINE IS SIMPLY TO SAY YES, WE ARE OR NO, WE ARE NOT HIRING AN EXPERT.

IS THAT CORRECT?

THE COURT: WELL, I THINK IN FAIRNESS TO 843, WHICH GIVES ME THE POWER TO AUTHORIZE SEQUENTIAL DISCLOSURE, THERE IS SUPPOSED TO BE A REPORT, ALTHOUGH 843 MAY HAVE A LITTLE GRACE PERIOD BETWEEN THE DISCLOSURE AND THE PRODUCTION OF THE REPORT.

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

LET ME DOUBLE CHECK.

2.0

MS. JACOBSON: THE EXPERT AND THE REPORT, UNLIKE THE CIVIL DISCOVERY ACT, ARE TO BE DISCLOSED AT THE SAME TIME UNDER 843, ANOTHER REASON WHY THERE WAS A PUSH TO HAVE VENTURA RELEASE IT IN AUGUST. THE WHOLE POINT IS SO THAT THE OTHER PARTY CAN REALLY GET A GRASP ON WHAT EXACTLY VENTURA IS SAYING AND WHETHER OR NOT WHAT VENTURA HAS IN ITS POCKETS IS SOMETHING THAT ANY OR SOME OF THE PARTIES CAN AGREE TO WITHOUT PROVIDING ADDITIONAL EXPERTS ON POINT AND MOVING THIS CASE FORWARD OR OTHERWISE RETAINING THEIR OWN EXPERTS AND GOING FORWARD WITH THE SCHEDULING OF THE DEPOSITIONS.

ANOTHER REASON WHY THIS IS SO IMPORTANT TO GET VENTURA'S REPORT AND EXPERT DONE FIRST IS ALTHOUGH YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY ORDERED THEM TO PROVIDE THE 10 MOST IMPORTANT DOCUMENTS THEY ARE RELYING ON, THEIR DISCLOSURE WAS ACTUALLY AN INITIAL DISCLOSURE OF SOME OF THE THINGS THEY ARE RELYING UPON, WHICH HAS NOT REALLY HELPED THIS CASE MOVE FORWARD AND NARROWED THE ISSUES.

SO THAT'S A LONG-WINDED WAY OF SAYING IT WOULD BE MY PREFERENCE FOR THE SEPTEMBER 24TH DEADLINE, AS IT APPLIES TO OJAI AT LEAST, TO BE A YES OR NO ANSWER AS TO WHETHER WE ARE HIRING AN EXPERT OR IN THE PROCESS OF HIRING, BUT THERE WILL BE -- WE REALLY NEED ADDITIONAL TIME IF THERE IS GOING TO BE A DEADLINE FOR PROVIDING THE DISCLOSURE AND A REPORT. AND THAT, I BELIEVE, CAN BE DONE BY OCTOBER.

AGAIN, THE ONLY REAL REASON THAT WE WOULD HAVE
TO EXTEND IT THAT FAR IS GIVEN THE AMOUNT OF WORK -- THE

1	NUMBER OF EXPERTS IN THE FIELD ARE LIMITED AND THE AMOUNT OF
2	WORK THEY ALREADY HAVE ON THEIR SHOULDERS.
3	THE COURT: SO MY VIEW IS FOR THE STATE, CASITAS, CITY
4	OF OJAI, AND EAST OJAI GROUP, SEPTEMBER 24 IS TO BE IN
5	COMPLIANCE WITH CCP SECTION 843, INCLUDING THE REPORT
6	REQUIREMENT, BUT THIS, AS OF THE CASE WITH MR. PATTERSON'S
7	INQUIRY, IS WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO YOU MAKING AN EX PARTE
8	APPLICATION SOMETIME IN SEPTEMBER AFTER VENTURA HAS
9	DISCLOSED ITS REPORT, SO YOU KNOW WHAT YOU ARE SHOOTING AT,
10	BUT BEFORE SEPTEMBER 24 TO ASK FOR A DELAY IN THE REPORT
11	PRODUCTION DEADLINE AND/OR A DELAY IN THE EXPERT
12	IDENTIFICATION DEADLINE INHERENT IN 843 FOR GOOD CAUSE
13	SHOWN. PARTICULARLY IF YOU ARE ONLY ASKING FOR A REPORT
14	DELAY RATHER THAN AN EXPERT DISCLOSURE DELAY, IT'S A MODEST
15	REQUEST AND MORE LIKELY TO BE GRANTED, BUT I WANT TO DEAL
16	WITH THAT AFTER YOU HAVE ACTUALLY SEEN VENTURA'S REPORT AND
17	SEE WHAT IT IS THAT YOU ARE SHOOTING AT. MAYBE YOU WILL
18	FIND VENTURA'S REPORT QUITE SENSIBLE AND REASONABLE AND
19	DECIDE THAT YOU CAN ADVOCATE YOUR CLIENT'S POSITION BY USING
20	THE REPORT THAT SOMEBODY ELSE PREPARED AND SAVE YOURSELF THE
21	EXPENSE, MS. JACOBSON.
22	MS. JACOBSON: THAT IS THE HOPE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY. SO THAT WILL BE THE ORDER OF THE COURT.

23

24

27

28

DO YOU HAVE WHAT YOU NEED FOR GIVING NOTICE,

26 MR. PISANO?

MR. PISANO: I DO, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD JUST REQUEST THAT IF THE EAST OJAI GROUP OR THE CITY OR ANY OTHER OF THE

- 1 MAJOR PLAYERS IS FINDING THEMSELVES IN A POSITION BEFORE
- 2 | AUGUST 31 THAT THEY KNOW THEY ARE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO
- 3 | MAKE THE DEADLINE WITH THE REPORT, THAT THEY GO IN EX PARTE
- 4 | BEFORE AUGUST 31 BECAUSE THE 31ST WILL BE A BIG DATE.
- 5 | THAT'S -- WE ARE GOING TO PUT OUR CARDS ON THE TABLE ON THAT
- 6 DATE. SO IF THEY HAVE THE GOOD CAUSE BEFORE THEN, THEY
- 7 | SHOULD HAVE TO COME IN HERE SOONER SO THAT THE COURT CAN
- 8 REACT AND POSSIBLY --
- 9 THE COURT: I DON'T SEE IT THAT WAY. I THINK THEY ARE
- 10 | ENTITLED TO SEE YOUR EXPERT REPORT AND 843 GIVES ME THAT
- 11 POWER TO MAKE YOU PUT YOUR CARDS OUT FIRST.
- MR. PISANO: FAIR ENOUGH, YOUR HONOR.
- 13 THE COURT: THERE ARE OTHERS WHO WANT TO BE HEARD.
- 14 LET ME DEAL WITH OTHER PEOPLE WHO WANT TO SPEAK.
- MR. OSIAS: THIS IS DAVID OSIAS FOR JEFF BACON AS
- 16 TRUSTEE OF THE VILLA NERO TRUST.
- 17 THE COURT: YOU ARE A MINOR PLAYER TO MY
- 18 UNDERSTANDING.
- MR. OSIAS: I AM A ONE PROPERTY OWNER, ONE-WELL CLIENT
- 20 WITH NO EXPERT.
- 21 THE COURT: SO SEPTEMBER 24 IS NOT RELEVANT TO YOU,
- 22 BUT THE OCTOBER DATE WOULD BE.
- 23 MR. OSIAS: IT IS. BUT I WANTED TO START WITH YOUR
- 24 HONOR'S OBSERVATION THAT YOU THOUGHT THE CITY HAD MERELY
- 25 | SAID LATE AUGUST. THEY ACTUALLY EXPRESSLY OFFERED AUGUST
- 26 24. IT WAS IN THEIR SCHEDULE. THEY WANTED IT TO BE
- 27 | CONCURRENT, WHICH IS A SEPARATE ISSUE, BUT THEY SAID THEY
- 28 | COULD BE READY BY AUGUST 24, NOT ONLY "LATE AUGUST." AS

1	SOMEONE WITHOUT AN EXPERT AND IS GOING TO BE SCRAMBLING WITH
2	THIS SCHEDULE, THAT WEEK MAY MATTER. SO I WANTED TO BRING
3	THAT TO YOUR ATTENTION. I THINK MR. HAGERTY AND MR. PISANO
4	WOULD CONFIRM THAT THAT IS, IN FACT, WHAT THEY HAVE SAID.
5	THE COURT: MR. HAGERTY AND MR. PISANO, DO YOU WANT
6	THE BENEFIT OF A DELAY FROM AUGUST 24 TO THE 31ST OR IS THIS
7	ADVOCACY PIECE READY TO GO AND YOU MIGHT AS WELL SHOOT OFF
8	YOUR ARTILLERY SOONER, RATHER THAN LATER?
9	MR. PISANO: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD REQUEST AUGUST 31.
10	IT IS NOT AN ADVOCACY PIECE, IT IS A SCIENTIFIC EXPERT
11	ANALYSIS, BUT I WOULD REQUEST AUGUST 31 IF THE COURT IS
12	INCLINED TO LET THE CITY GO FIRST.
13	THE COURT: I WILL LET YOU HAVE IT.
14	MR. OSIAS, THE CITY OF VENTURA IS ANNOYED
15	ENOUGH WITH WHAT I AM DOING, I WILL GIVE THEM THE WEEK.
16	MR. OSIAS: I WOULD TAKE THE RESPONSE THE EXACTLY THE
17	SAME WAY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: BEFORE COURT STARTED, WE WERE MAKING SMALL
19	TALK WHEN I INDICATED A CONFUSION ABOUT THE START TIME.
20	MR. PISANO WAS GRACIOUS IN SAYING, "YOU NEVER REALLY WANT TO
21	TELL THE COURT THEY ARE WRONG." SO HE HAS HAD A HARD ENOUGH
22	TIME TELLING ME THAT ELEGANTLY TODAY.
23	MR. PISANO: I THINK MR. COSGROVE SAID THAT, YOUR
24	HONOR.
25	THE COURT: WHATEVER. IT WAS ONE OF YOU GENTLEMEN
26	HERE IN COURT IN PERSON.
27	MR. OSIAS: THE SECOND POINT HAS, I THINK, BEEN

UNDERSTOOD, BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE IS NOT ANY

1	AMBIGUITY ABOUT IT, 843 IS ACTUALLY IN FOUR PARTS. "A" HAS
2	TO DEAL ONLY WITH DISCLOSURE OF IDENTITY.
3	"B" IS THE DISCLOSURE OF THE REPORT. AND IT
4	SAYS, "UNLESS THE COURT ORDERS OTHERWISE, THE DISCLOSURE
5	SHOULD BE DONE AT THE SAME TIME AS THE IDENTITY."
6	"C" SAYS, IF YOU DON'T ORDER IT AT THE SAME
7	TIME, THEN A SUMMARY. I'M PARAPHRASING, BUT YOUR HONOR
8	COULD LOOK THIS UP EXACTLY.
9	SO IN TERMS OF THOSE OF US I WILL PAUSE FOR
10	A MINUTE IF YOUR HONOR WANTS TO LOOK AT THOSE THREE
11	SECTIONS.
12	THE COURT: GIVE ME A SECOND HERE.
13	MR. OSIAS: OKAY.
14	THE COURT: I APPRECIATE BEING POINTED TO THIS,
15	MR. OSIAS.
16	AGAIN, I THINK PEOPLE WHO WANT TO INVOKE THIS
17	TO MOVE AWAY FROM THE DEFAULT PROVISIONS OF 843(B) SHOULD
18	USE AN EX PARTE MADE SOMETIME AFTER THEY SEE THE CITY OF
19	VENTURA'S REPORT AND THEN INVOKE THE POWERS I APPEAR TO HAVE
20	UNDER 843(B) AND C TO SUGGEST EITHER A SUBSTITUTE VERSION OF
21	THE DISCLOSURE AND/OR PHASING OF THE DISCOVERY DISCLOSURE
22	OBLIGATIONS, MR. OSIAS.
23	MR. OSIAS: OKAY. SO I THINK YOU ARE ANSWERING THE
24	QUESTION I WAS ABOUT TO ASK, BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT I
25	GET IT OUT JUST TO BE CERTAIN.
26	THE COURT: COME BACK IN SEPTEMBER. COME BACK IN
27	SEPTEMBER IF YOU WANT TO BE RELIEVED OF THE OBLIGATION TO

MAKE A FULL-FLEDGED DISCLOSURE UNDER 843(B) IF YOU HAVE

1	HIRED AN EXPERT AND HAVE DONE SO BY SEPTEMBER 24TH SUCH THAT						
2	YOU FEEL THAT YOU NEED TO BE MAKING A DISCLOSURE ON						
3	SEPTEMBER 24TH.						
4	MR. OSIAS: AND OUR DEADLINE RIGHT NOW, AS I						
5	UNDERSTAND YOUR ORDER, IS THAT ON OCTOBER 24						
6	THE COURT: I GUESS THAT'S RIGHT BECAUSE YOU HAVE GOT						
7	A SMALL PLAYER, SO YOU CAN KEEP YOUR CARDS IN THE POCKET						
8	UNTIL OCTOBER 24, BUT IF YOU WANT TO LIMIT WHAT YOU ARE						
9	DOING ON OCTOBER 24, EITHER TAKE IT UP AT THE STATUS						
10	CONFERENCE IN AUGUST OR SEPTEMBER AND/OR MAKE AN EX PARTE						
11	APPLICATION SOMETIME IN SEPTEMBER OR OCTOBER TO CLARIFY THE						
12	NATURE OF YOUR OBLIGATION ON OCTOBER 24.						
13	MR. OSIAS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. IT'S POSSIBLE THAT						
14	WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO DO 843(C) BY OCTOBER 24, BUT NOT						
15	THE COURT: THAT MAY BE WHERE I WOULD LAND ALSO TO						
16	SAVE THE MINOR PLAYERS EXPENSE, BUT I'M NOT MAKING AN						
17	EXPRESS ORDER AS TO OCTOBER 24. I HAVE TO CLARIFY THAT						
18	BETWEEN NOW AND OCTOBER 24.						
19	MR. OSIAS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THAT'S WHAT I WAS						
20	ASKING.						
21	THE COURT: THE RULING OF TODAY CAN INDICATE THAT THE						
22	COURT HAS NOT YET FULLY DEFINED THE PARAMETERS OF THE						
23	OBLIGATION ON OCTOBER 24, BUT THE OBLIGATION ON SEPTEMBER 24						
24	IS TO COMPLY WITH 843(B) UNLESS THE COURT HEREAFTER, FOR						
25	GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, MODIFIES THAT OBLIGATION.						
26	OTHER PEOPLE WHO WANT TO BE HEARD? OKAY.						
27	MR. MELNICK: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MARC MELNICK.						
28	THE COURT: HI. GREETINGS.						

1	MR. MELNICK: HOW ARE YOU, SIR?						
2	THE COURT: PRETTY GOOD.						
3	MR. MELNICK: I HAVE A I DON'T HAVE ANY CONCERNS						
4	WITH WHAT YOU HAVE RULED ALREADY. I HAVE ONE CLARIFYING						
5	QUESTION, AND/OR I GUESS TWO CLARIFYING QUESTIONS. THE						
6	FIRST IS I THOUGHT THAT YOU HAD SAID THAT THE MINOR PLAYERS						
7	WERE TO DISCLOSE ON OCTOBER 22ND, WHICH IS A FRIDAY, NOT						
8	OCTOBER 24TH, WHICH IS A SUNDAY.						
9	THE COURT: I PROBABLY DID BECAUSE THERE IS NO REASON						
10	TO MAKE A SUNDAY A DEADLINE.						
11	DID YOU CATCH A DATE, JOHN?						
12	THE CLERK: SEPTEMBER 24TH AND OCTOBER 22ND.						
13	THE COURT: YEAH. THE JUDICIAL ASSISTANT GOT IT AS						
14	OCTOBER 22. YOU ARE CORRECT, MR. MELNICK.						
15	MR. MELNICK: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.						
16	AND THEN MY SECOND QUESTION IS: IS THE COURT						
17	INCLINED TO ORDER THE OTHER PRETRIAL DATES THAT THE CITY						
18	PROPOSED OR DO WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT THOSE?						
19	THE COURT: BEAR WITH ME.						
20	MR. MELNICK: BECAUSE						
21	THE COURT: THE BRIEFS I LOOKED AT WERE THE ONES						
22	FOCUSED ON THIS QUESTION. SO I GUESS I HAVE TO BACK UP TO						
23	SOME DIFFERENT STATUS REPORT. GIVE ME THE DATE OF THE						
24	STATUS REPORT AND I WILL TRY TO PULL IT UP ELECTRONICALLY.						
25	MR. MELNICK: I AM ACTUALLY NOT SURE WHAT DOCUMENT						
26	MR. PISANO ATTACHED THIS TO.						
27	MR. PISANO: YOUR HONOR, IT WAS IT IS THE REPORT						
28	THAT THE CITY SUBMITTED FOR THE JULY 6TH STATUS CONFERENCE,						

```
1
     IT WAS FILED ON JULY 2ND.
 2
           THE COURT: HANG ON.
 3
                  ON A DIFFERENT NOTE, I WOULD ASK THE CITY OF
 4
     VENTURA TO WORK WITH COURT STAFF, PARTICULARLY
 5
     MR. SANCHEZ -- WE WILL DO OUR PART TO TRY TO PULL TOGETHER
 6
     WHAT WE HAVE IN TERMS OF HARD COPIES OF OBJECTIONS TO THE
 7
     PHYSICAL SOLUTION AND WE MAY NOT HAVE ALL OF THEM, EITHER
 8
     BECAUSE YOU CAME SO EARLY WHEN PEOPLE WERE COMPLAINING ABOUT
 9
     A DOCUMENT I HAVE NOT SEEN, WE LET IT BASICALLY BE CAST
10
     ASIDE AFTER IT WAS SCANNED. BUT TO TRY TO BE SURE I HAVE A
11
     COMPLETE RECORD WITHOUT REGARD TO THE DATE ON WHICH THESE
12
     ITEMS WERE SERVED AND FILED, BUT SO THAT I HAVE A FULL SET
13
     OF THE CRITIQUES OF THE PHYSICAL SOLUTION THAT HAD BEEN
14
     LODGED OR FILED WITH THE COURT -- THEY SHOULD ALL BE FILED,
15
     FRANKLY -- BUT THEY HAVE BEEN FILED WITH THE COURT UP UNTIL
16
     NOW, SO THAT I COULD HAVE THEM AS A READING STACK BECAUSE I
17
     DON'T WANT TO TAKE THE TIME TO TRY TO GO BACK THROUGH ALL
18
     THE RECORDS. IF YOU COULD SEND A PARALEGAL HERE TO WORK
19
     WITH MR. SANCHEZ TO BE SURE THAT BETWEEN WHAT WE CAN FIND IN
2.0
     OUR WORKING PAPERS VERSUS WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO ADD IN, IT
21
     BECOMES A COMPLETE PHYSICAL SET OF ALL THE PAPERS.
22
                  IS THAT A FAIR REQUEST, MR. PISANO?
23
           MR. PISANO: THAT IS FAIR, YOUR HONOR.
24
           THE COURT: LET ME FIND THE DOCUMENT IN OUESTION.
25
     JULY 2 IS THE SERVICE DATE. STATUS CONFERENCE REPORT.
26
     OKAY.
27
                  PROPOSED DISCOVERY AND PRETRIAL SCHEDULE.
                                                              SO
28
     JUNE 21, DISCOVERY STAY IS LIFTED.
```

ARD, ET AL., 1951CPUL

July 23, 2021

Original

1 PRESUMEABLY WE HAVE LIFTED THE DISCOVERY STAY, 2 RIGHT, MR. PISANO? 3 MR. PISANO: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OKAY. SEPTEMBER 24 WAS GOING TO BE THE 4 FIRST DAY FOR INITIAL EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURES. 5 THAT'S BEEN MODIFIED BY ME. YOU HAVE GOT THE MODIFICATIONS. 6 7 THIS SCHEDULE CONTEMPLATED THAT PERCIPIENT DISCOVERY WOULD CUT OFF OCTOBER 15. IS THAT STILL A 8 9 REASONABLE DEADLINE FROM YOUR POINT OF VIEW, MR. PISANO? 10 MR. PISANO: YES, IT IS, YOUR HONOR. 11 THE COURT: MR. MELNICK, DO YOU WISH TO OBJECT TO THAT 12 OR ANYBODY ELSE WISH TO BE HEARD TO OBJECT TO THAT? 13 MR. MELNICK: THIS IS MARC MELNICK. I DON'T HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO ANY OF THE OTHER DATES. I JUST WANTED SOME 14 15 CLARIFICATION. 16 THE COURT: THANK YOU. I CAN RECITE THE OTHER DATES 17 IF PEOPLE NEED TO BE AWARE OF THEM TO SEE IF THERE ARE GOING TO BE OBJECTIONS. PRESUMABLY AT THIS POINT YOU MAY HAVE A 18 19 RECOLLECTION OF WHETHER YOU WERE ACCEPTING OR IN OPPOSITION 2.0 OF VENTURA'S PROPOSAL. 21 DO YOU REMEMBER, MR. PISANO, GETTING ANY 22 EXPRESS OBJECTIONS BY BRIEFS OR OTHERWISE TO THIS SCHEDULE 23 APART FROM THE QUESTION OF MUTUALITY AND THE DATE OF THE 24 EXPERTS? 25 MR. PISANO: OTHER THAN THE EXPERT ISSUE, I DON'T BELIEVE WE RECEIVED ANY OTHER OBJECTIONS. 26 27 MR. OSIAS: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS DAVID OSIAS. 28 I BELIEVE WE IDENTIFIED A CONCERN THAT THE

	-						
1	MOTION, THE DISPOSITIVE MOTION CUT-OFF DATE IS AFTER THE						
2	CLOSE OF EXPERT WITNESS DEPOS AND IT'S NOT EVERY DISPOSITIVE						
3	MOTION IS SUCCESSFUL AND COMMON COURT RULING IS THERE ARE						
4	TRIABLE ISSUES, IT SEEMS THAT SEQUENCING, THOUGH, IS NOT						
5	IDEAL TO THE EXTENT YOU WANT TO FLESH OUT THE TRIABLE ISSUE.						
6	AND IF DISCOVERY IS ALL CLOSED, THAT'S GOING TO BE A LITTLE						
7	BIT HARDER TO DO THAT.						
8	SO I WAS THINKING THAT THOSE DATES SHOULD BE						
9	SWAPPED. I RAISED THAT IN A MEET AND CONFER. I POINTED IT						
10	OUT IN GENERAL TERMS IN MY STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT.						
11	I HAVE NOT HAD A RESPONSE. I DON'T KNOW IF THE						
12	COURT THINKS THAT'S A REASONABLE CONCERN OR NOT.						
13	THE COURT: LET ME BACK UP AND SEE IF I UNDERSTAND						
14	WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. THIS IS MR. OSIAS, I BELIEVE?						
15	MR. OSIAS: YES.						
16	THE COURT: SO YOUR CONCERN IS THE EXPENSIVE EXPERT						
17	WITNESSES IS INCURRED BEFORE YOU GET A RULING ON SUMMARY						
18	JUDGMENT OR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION WHEREAS YOU HOPE I REACH						
19	SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION BEFORE ALL THE						
20	EXPERT EXPENSE HAS TO BE INCURRED?						
21	MR. OSIAS: NO, I'M SORRY. THAT ISN'T WHAT I MEANT.						
22	I MEANT THAT DISCOVERY ENDS BEFORE DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS WILL						
23	BE HEARD AND THAT THE RESULT OF DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS MIGHT						
24	BOTH NARROW ISSUES, BUT IDENTIFY SOME TRIABLE ISSUES OF FACT						
25	BEFORE DISCOVERY, LIKE DEPOS, IS NOT COMPLETE.						
26	THE COURT: WELL, IF SOMETHING REALLY EMERGES IN THE						
27	COURSE OF ANALYZING SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF A REAL STICKY POINT						

OF SOME FACT ISSUE, I WILL LEAVE IT TO GOOD COUNSEL TO

1	SUGGEST THAT I REOPEN DISCOVERY FOR GOOD CAUSE. BUT						
2	OTHERWISE, I HAVE TO SAY AS A JUDGE OF 20 PLUS YEARS AND A						
3	LAWYER BEFORE THAT OF 20 PLUS YEARS, GENERALLY SPEAKING, YOU						
4	BRING YOUR DISPOSITIVE MOTION AFTER ALL OR VIRTUALLY ALL						
5	DISCOVERY HAS BEEN COMPLETED BECAUSE THEN IT'S FAIR TO MAKE						
6	YOU PUT YOUR CARDS ON THE TABLE REGARDING A POTENTIALLY						
7	DISPOSITIVE MOTION.						
8	SO THAT DOES NOT STRIKE ME AS ODD AT ALL. IF						
9	THERE IS SOMETHING IN THE ADJUDICATION OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT						
10	OR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION THAT HIGHLIGHTS AN ISSUE WHERE A						
11	LITTLE LAST-MINUTE DISCOVERY OUGHT TO BE DONE OR GOD FORBID						
12	THE TRIAL IS DELAYED TO ALLOW NECESSARY DISCOVERY, I WOULD						
13	TEND TO DO THAT AS A REACTIVE ANALYTICAL EXERCISE ONCE THE						
14	SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION PROCESSES HELP						
15	EDUCATE ME.						
16	THAT WOULD BE MY FULL COMMENT ON THAT.						
17	MR. OSIAS: THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE THAT. I WAS SORT						
18	OF CONTEMPLATING THAT 90 PERCENT OF THE ATTENTION OF BOTH						
19	THE EXPERTS AT THEIR DEPOS IS GOING TO BE ON MAJOR PLAYERS.						
20	SO, YOU KNOW AND WE ARE LATE TO THE GAME, OBVIOUSLY, BUT						
21	WE WILL TAKE IT UP IN THE WAY YOU SAID. WE WILL SEE HOW						
22	THAT GOES. I APPRECIATE YOUR ADDRESSING IT.						
23	THE COURT: LET ME ASK YOU THIS ABOUT YOUR SCHEDULE,						
24	MR. PISANO AND MR. HAGERTY: DO I NEED TO TWEAK IT AT ALL						
25	OTHER THAN WHAT I'VE DONE ABOUT EXPERTS?						
26	YOU HAVE A NOVEMBER 12TH DATE AS THE SECOND						

DATE FOR INITIAL EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURES, WHICH I GUESS

IS, TO SOME EXTENT, A PROXY FOR WHAT I WOULD OTHERWISE PUT

27

		2
1	DOWN FOR OCTOBER 22?	
2	MR. PISANO: YEAH.	
3	THE COURT: SO I AM ACTUALLY ADVANCING YOUR NOVEMBER	
4	12TH DATE, HYPOTHETICALLY, TO OCTOBER 22. DO YOU LIKE THAT	
5	I ADVANCE IT OR DO YOU SUGGEST I PUSH IT BACK TO YOUR DATE	
6	OF NOVEMBER 12TH AND MAKE IT SUBJECT TO THIS VERBIAGE?	
7	I AM WILLING TO ADOPT THE WISDOM OF YOUR	
8	NOVEMBER 12TH DEADLINE IN LIEU OF WHAT I HAVE BEEN SKETCHING	
9	OUT FOR OCTOBER 22. IT SOUNDS CLOSER TO WHAT MR. OSIAS AND	
10	SOME OTHERS HOPE TO HAVE HAPPEN.	
11	MR. PISANO: I THINK THE OCTOBER 22 IS FINE, YOUR	
12	HONOR.	
13	THE COURT: THE WAY I HAVE SKETCHED IT OUT?	
14	MR. PISANO: THE WAY YOU HAVE SKETCHED IT OUT.	
15	THE COURT: SO THEN I DELETE YOUR NOVEMBER 12TH	
16	VERBIAGE?	
17	MR. PISANO: YES.	
18	THE COURT: BUT WE DO ADOPT OCTOBER 15TH AS PERCIPIENT	
19	WITNESS CUT-OFF; NOVEMBER 3 FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT/SUMMARY	
20	ADJUDICATION FILING.	
21	MR. PISANO: ACTUALLY, YOUR HONOR, ON THAT ONE, I WENT	
22	BACK AND COUNTED AFTER THE FACT, NOVEMBER 5 WOULD BE	
23	ACTUALLY, IT'S NOVEMBER 6TH WOULD BE THE 75TH DAY, WHICH IS	
24	A SATURDAY. SO I THINK NOVEMBER 5 WOULD BE THE DEADLINE TO	
25	FILE AN MSJ OR MSA.	
26	THE COURT: WELL, IN THEORY, WITH E-FILING, THERE ARE	
27	TWO MORE DAYS FOR CASE ANYWHERE OR FILE&SERVE XPRESS.	

MR. PISANO: GOOD POINT. THAT IS PROBABLY WHY IT

ENDED UP AS THE 3RD.						
THE COURT: LET'S STAY WITH NOVEMBER 3.						
DO YOU HAVE A HEARING DATE, I DIDN'T SEE ONE IN						
THERE?						
MR. PISANO: I DID PUT JANUARY 21 AS A LAW AND MOTION						
CUT-OFF DATE FOR ALL MOTIONS, OTHER THAN MOTIONS IN LIMINE.						
THE COURT: SO THAT'S ANOTHER WAY OF SAYING THAT IS						
YOUR PROPOSED HEARING DATE?						
MR. PISANO: FOR ANY SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS, YES.						
THE COURT: FINE. I WILL RESERVE FRIDAY, JANUARY 21,						
AT 10:00 A.M. FOR ANY SUMMARY JUDGMENT/SUMMARY ADJUDICATION						
MOTIONS AND OTHER MOTION PRACTICE. MODIFY YOUR NOTICE						
ACCORDINGLY.						
DECEMBER 10, SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERTS. THAT'S OKAY						
BY ME.						
SO FAR SO GOOD?						
MR. PISANO: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR.						
THE COURT: JANUARY 14, EXPERT DEPO CUT-OFF. FINE.						
FOR THESE PURPOSES, YOU MEAN THEM NOT JUST TO						
BE COMMENCED, BUT ACTUALLY TO BE DONE?						
MR. PISANO: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.						
THE COURT: MAKE THAT CLEAR.						
JANUARY 21, PRETRIAL STATEMENTS, EXHIBIT LIST,						
WITNESS LIST, MOTIONS IN LIMINE, AND TRIAL BRIEFS, FINE.						
JANUARY 21, RESERVED FOR HEARING.						
JANUARY 28, RESPONSES TO MOTIONS IN LIMINE,						
EXCHANGE EXHIBITS. FINE.						
FEBRUARY 2, 10:00 A.M., FINAL STATUS						

1	CONFERENCE, YES.
2	AND FEBRUARY 14, 10:00 A.M., START OF A COURT
3	TRIAL.
4	HOW MANY DAYS SHOULD WE BE RESERVING FOR THIS
5	COURT TRIAL IN YOUR VIEW, MR. PISANO?
6	MR. PISANO: I BELIEVE WE DISCUSSED IT, IT WAS I
7	THINK 10 TO 15 IS WHAT WE HAD PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED.
8	THE COURT: IT GOT ON CALENDAR FOR 10 TO 15 DAYS. SO
9	WE WILL MAKE A NOTE THAT IT IS INTENDED TO BE A 10 TO 15-DAY
10	COURT TRIAL.
11	OKAY. I'M GLAD THIS CAME UP. THANK YOU,
12	MR. MELNICK. IT'S GOOD THAT WE GOT TO THIS POINT.
13	OTHER THINGS WE ARE FORGETTING TO ADDRESS,
14	MR. MELNICK?
15	MR. MELNICK: NOTHING THAT WE HAVE RAISED WITH YOU
16	PRIOR, YOUR HONOR, BUT THERE IS AN ISSUE THAT I THINK WE
17	MIGHT WANT TO TALK ABOUT TODAY WITH YOU, AND THAT'S WHETHER
18	THIS TRIAL IS GOING TO BE LIVE OR WHETHER YOU WOULD PREFER
19	IT TO BE BY DECLARATION. WE DON'T HAVE TO RESOLVE THAT NOW,
20	BUT IT HAS TO DO WITH THE SCHEDULE.
21	THE COURT: THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE WHO THINK TRIALS ON
22	DECLARATION WITH TESTIMONY LIMITED TO CROSS-EXAMINATION IS
23	GENIUS. I HAVE NOT, IN MY EXPERIENCE, FOUND IT ALL THAT
24	HELPFUL, AND PERHAPS JUST BECAUSE I AM A SLOW LEARNER. BUT
25	AT THE MOMENT, I THINK I WOULD PREFER TO BE EDUCATED IN THE
0.6	

MR. MELNICK: THAT'S WHAT I WANTED TO ASK, YOUR HONOR.

COURTROOM BY A WITNESS ON THE STAND.

26

27

28

THANK YOU.

Original

1 THE COURT: OTHER QUESTIONS?

2 MS. BLISS: YOUR HONOR --

2.0

THE COURT: WHO IS SPEAKING?

4 MS. BLISS: LOA BLISS FOR UPPER OJAI.

OR TECHNICALLY OUALIFIED. WHY SO EARLY?

I MAY BE DISCLOSING MY INCREDIBLE NAIVETE ON

THE WAY THESE TRIALS UNFOLD, BUT I AM WONDERING WHY THERE

IS -- IF I UNDERSTAND WHAT A PERCIPIENT WITNESS ACTUALLY

IS -- WHY IS THERE A CUT-OFF SO EARLY? IT MIGHT BE THAT

THERE MAY BE PERSONS WHO CAN'T ACTUALLY HAVE A QUALIFIED

EXPERT, BUT WILL BE ABLE TO CALL PEOPLE WHO KNOW A LOT ABOUT

THE GEOLOGY OF A PARTICULAR BASIN THAT MAY NOT BE CERTIFIED

IT SEEMS TO ME LIKE IT MIGHT BE USEFUL TO BE
ABLE TO HAVE THE CUT-OFF DATE AFTER THE SMALL PARTIES HAVE
DECIDED WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO CALL AN EXPERT OR AFTER
THEY HAVE IDENTIFIED AN EXPERT BECAUSE SUCH TESTIMONY COULD
BE IN TANDEM WITH AN EXPERT OR AN EXPERT MAY RECOMMEND
HAVING SOME EXTRA TESTIMONY.

THAT'S ALL. IT JUST SEEMED A LITTLE

PRECIPITOUS. THAT'S ALL, IF I AM UNDERSTANDING THINGS

CORRECTLY, AND I MAY NOT.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU RAISED AN INTERESTING POINT,
MA'AM. I WOULD, AGAIN, OFFER THIS COMMENT FROM MY
EXPERIENCE AS A LAWYER AND A JUDGE AND NOW CANDIDLY, ALL OF
MY EXPERIENCE IS OUTSIDE THE CONTEXT OF ADJUDICATING WATER
CASES. THIS IS THE FIRST WATER CASE I HAVE HAD AS A JUDGE
AND I NEVER HAD A WATER CASE AS A LAWYER. BUT NOW SPEAKING
OF ALL THAT OTHER EXPERIENCE IN A SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

Original

28

1 CONTEXT, NORMALLY SPEAKING, WHETHER IT'S AN AUTO ACCIDENT, A 2 SLIP AND FALL, A WRONGFUL TERMINATION CASE, A BREACH OF 3 CONTRACT CASE, A DEFAMATION CASE, SOME CASES HAVE A FEW 4 EXPERTS, LIKE IN AN INJURY CASE, THEY MAY BE ABOUT MEDICAL 5 DAMAGES OR LOST WAGES. IN A PRODUCT LIABILITY CASE, THEY 6 MAY BE SIMPLY TRYING TO PROVE LIABILITY AND MAY OR MAY NOT 7 BE IMPORTANT TO DAMAGES. IN OTHER KINDS OF CASES, IT'S ALL 8 ABOUT THE EXPERTS AND THE PERCIPIENT WITNESSES DON'T COUNT 9 FOR MUCH OF ANYTHING. 10 BUT GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHAT YOU DO, AND I AM 11 SPEAKING ABOUT LITIGATION GENERALLY, NOT ABOUT WATER CASES, 12 IS YOU GO TALK TO THE PERCIPIENT WITNESSES WHO ACTUALLY SAW 13 THE AUTO ACCIDENT OR TREATED THE PATIENT AFTER HE GOT 14 INJURED OR HEARD THE DEFAMATORY STATEMENTS OR ARE AWARE OF 15 THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT DO OR DON'T DEMONSTRATE THE TRUTH OR 16 FALSITY OF THE SUPPOSEDLY DEFAMATORY STATEMENT, OR WERE 17 AWARE OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES IN THE WORKPLACE THAT GAVE RISE 18 TO THE WRONGFUL TERMINATION CASE, YOU TALK TO THE PEOPLE WHO 19 SORT OF SAW THE EVENTS AS STEP ONE AND YOU SAVE THE 2.0 EXPENSIVE EXPERTS TO THE END. IN PART, BECAUSE EXPERIENCE 21 IS MANY OF THOSE KIND OF CASES SETTLE PART WAY THROUGH THIS 22 DISCOVERY PROCESS AND YOU CAN AVOID THE EXPENSIVE EXPERTS, 23 AND, IN PART, IT'S BECAUSE TYPICALLY SOME OR ALL OF THIS 24 DISCOVERY IS NECESSARY TO ASSEMBLE THE FACTS ON WHICH AN 25 EXPERT WILL OFFER THEIR OPINION, WHETHER THEY ARE MEDICAL 26 RECORDS OR HOW LONG SOMEBODY HAD TO STAY AWAY FROM WORK ON 27 ACCOUNT OF THEIR INJURIES, AND SUCH LIKE. AND THEN YOU PUT 28 THE EXPERTS AT THE VERY END.

Original

29

1 INDEED, THE STATUTORY PROVISIONS REALLY ASSUME 2 THAT THE EXPERTS ARE REALLY DONE IN THE LAST 30 DAYS, WHICH 3 PROBABLY WORKS FOR YOUR AVERAGE AUTO ACCIDENT CASE, BUT DOES NOT WORK FOR CASES THAT ARE AS EXPERT INTENSIVE AS THIS. 4 5 BUT THAT'S HOW WE COME TO THINK THAT WE ARE 6 GOING TO TALK TO ALL THE PERCIPIENT WITNESSES WHO HAVE 7 SOMETHING USEFUL IN THE NEXT EIGHT WEEKS OR THEREABOUTS 8 BECAUSE OCTOBER 15TH IS NOT THAT LONG FROM NOW IN THE GRAND 9 SCHEME OF THINGS. AND THEN TURN OUR ATTENTION TO EXPERTS AND MOTION PRACTICE. IF IT TURNS OUT THAT FOR SOME REASON 10 11 THERE ARE PARTIES WHO WANT TO OFFER TESTIMONY THAT WILL COME 12 FROM A PERCIPIENT WITNESS FIRST, INSOFAR AS YOU HAVE A RIGHT 13 TO PARTICIPATE AT TRIAL, WHICH I SUSPECT YOU WOULD AS YOU 14 ARE A PARTY IN THE CASE, WHETHER OR NOT SOMEBODY IS DEPOSED 15 DOESN'T LIMIT WHETHER OR NOT YOU COULD CALL THEM AS YOUR 16 WITNESS AT TRIAL. SO WHO YOU CALL AS A WITNESS AT TRIAL IS 17 A DIFFERENT QUESTION. 18 NOW, IF YOU HAVE A WITNESS WHO IS IN ARIZONA 19 AND THEY WON'T COME TO L.A. TO TESTIFY, YOU WILL REGRET THAT 2.0 YOU DID NOT DEPOSE THEM BECAUSE IF YOU CAN'T GET THEM INTO 21 COURT PHYSICALLY, THEN YOU HAVE TO USE THE DEPOSITION AS A 22 SUBSTITUTE FOR GETTING THEM TO COME. 23 BUT IF YOU COULD GET THEM TO WALK INTO THE 24 COURTROOM, GET ON THE WITNESS STAND, TAKE AN OATH AND 25 TESTIFY, THEN, IN THEORY, YOU ARE FINE WHETHER OR NOT THEY 26 WERE DEPOSED. AND INDEED, IN SOME WAYS YOU WOULD BE HAPPY 27 IF THEY WERE NOT DEPOSED, BECAUSE NOBODY KNOWS HOW TO CROSS-28 EXAMINE THEM. GENERALLY SPEAKING, YOU SORT OF LIKE THAT.

Original

1	SO WHETHER SOMEBODY IS DEPOSED IS A DIFFERENT						
2	QUESTION FROM WHETHER THEY CAN BE OFFERED AS A TRIAL						
3	WITNESS. AND I DOUBT YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE THE CIRCUMSTANCE						
4	WHERE YOU HAVE GOT SOME HELPFUL WITNESS, BUT FOR WHATEVER						
5	REASON, THEY WON'T COME TO THE COURTHOUSE ON YOUR BEHALF. I						
6	ASSUME THAT IF YOU GOT SOMEBODY THAT IS HELPFUL, THEY WOULD						
7	BE WILLING TO COME TO DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES AND COME TO THE						
8	COURTROOM AND TAKE A OATH.						
9	DOES THAT HELP CLARIFY ANYTHING FOR YOU,						
10	MS. BLISS?						
11	MS. BLISS: YES, IT DOES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I						
12	JUST DID NOT WANT TO BE CUT OFF FROM HAVING THE TESTIMONY						
13	AVAILABLE WHENEVER IT MIGHT BE CONVENIENT TO DO THAT. SO I						
14	UNDERSTAND THAT DISCOVERY MEANS THEY HAVE TO BE AVAILABLE.						
15	I WOULD NOT KNOW WHO THESE PEOPLE WOULD BE UNTIL I COULD						
16	CONSULT WITH AN EXPERT, BUT I HAVE A PRETTY GOOD IDEA.						
17	BUT YOU ARE CORRECT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR						
18	THE CLARIFICATION. I APPRECIATE THAT. I THINK THEY MAY						
19	APPEAR AT TRIAL IF IT GETS THAT FAR.						
20	THE COURT: AGAIN, IF YOU WERE TRYING TO ADVOCATE A						
21	POSITION AT TRIAL, AT SOME POINT, YOU HAVE GOT TO FIND YOUR						
22	WITNESSES. THEY HAVE TO BE COMPETENT AND KNOW WHAT THEY ARE						
23	TALKING ABOUT.						
24	SO I AM NOT GOING TO GO FIND THEM FOR YOU,						
25	MR. HAGERTY'S JOB IS NOT TO GO FIND THEM FOR YOU, YOU OR						
26	SOMEBODY WORKING ON YOUR BEHALF WILL HAVE TO GO FIND THEM.						
27	BUT OTHERWISE, AM I FAIRLY DESCRIBING THE PROCESS FOR						
28	MS. BLISS'S BENEFIT, MR. PISANO?						

Original

31

1 MR. PISANO: I BELIEVE SO, YOUR HONOR. 2 THE COURT: ANYBODY DISAGREE WITH MY REVIEW OF THE 3 PROCEDURE FOR MS. BLISS? 4 OKAY. ANY OTHERS WANT TO BE HEARD THIS 5 AFTERNOON? 6 MR. PISANO? 7 MR. PISANO: JUST ONE SMALL REQUEST TO THE ORDER FOR THE DAY IS THAT IF THE CITY IS GOING TO BE DISCLOSING FIRST 8 9 AND GIVING THE OTHER MAJOR PLAYERS AT LEAST A PREVIEW, THAT AT A MINIMUM, THE ORDER PROVIDE THAT THE CITY'S EXPERT OR 10 11 EXPERTS NOT BE DEPOSED BEFORE THE MAJOR PLAYERS DISCLOSE 12 THEIR REPORTS. THAT WOULD TO ME SEEM A LITTLE MUCH. 13 THE COURT: ANYBODY DISAGREE WITH MR. PISANO'S 14 REASONABLE REQUEST? 15 MR. COSGROVE FOR CASITAS? 16 MR. COSGROVE: NO. 17 THE COURT: MR. MELNICK? 18 MR. MELNICK: NO, YOUR HONOR. 19 THE COURT: MS. JACOBSON? 2.0 MR. MELNICK: I HAVE NO OBJECTION. 21 THE COURT: MS. JACOBSON? 22 MS. JACOBSON: NO, YOUR HONOR. 23 THE COURT: MR. PATTERSON? 24 MR. PATTERSON: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. I THINK IT MAKES SENSE. THAT'S FINE. 25 26 MS. JACOBSON: ACTUALLY, I DO HAVE A QUESTION. 27 THE COURT: GO AHEAD, MS. JACOBSON. 28 MS. JACOBSON: SO IF THE REQUEST IS THAT VENTURA'S

l	EXPERT	NOT	BE	DEPOSED	UNTIL	SEPTEMBER	24TH	
---	--------	-----	----	---------	-------	-----------	------	--

2.0

THE COURT: INCLUDING THE DISCLOSURE OF THE REPORTS
THAT ARE EXPECTED ON SEPTEMBER 24TH.

MS. JACOBSON: WELL, MY CONCERN IS IF A PARTY FILES AN EX PARTE REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL TIME FOR A REPORT, BUT HAS DISCLOSED THEIR EXPERT, I DON'T KNOW -- I DON'T THINK IT WOULD BE IN THE BEST INTEREST OF STREAMLINING THIS CASE TO FURTHER DELAY DEPOSITIONS.

THE COURT: WELL, IT MAY OR MAY NOT. I GUESS YOU ARE SOMEBODY WHO MAY WANT TO DELAY YOUR EXPERT, BUT IF YOU DO, YOU MAY DELAY THE DEPO OF THE VENTURA EXPERT. SOUNDS LIKE YOU HAVE TO DEAL WITH THAT.

MS. JACOBSON: NO, AS I UNDERSTAND THE SEPTEMBER 24TH DEADLINE, IT'S TO DISCLOSE THE EXPERT AND REPORT UNLESS AN EXTENSION IS REQUESTED FOR THE REPORT.

THE COURT: FOR GOOD CAUSE AND THEN I GRANT IT, BUT
THAT MAY HAVE AN IMPACT THEN ON WHEN MR. PISANO'S EXPERT IS
FIRST ELIGIBLE TO BE DEPOSED, AT LEAST IN HIGHBERGER'S VIEW.

MS. JACOBSON: WELL, MY CONCERN IS THAT THAT IS GOING TO GET CIRCLED BACK TO OUR ORIGINAL CONCERNS ABOUT NOT HAVING ENOUGH TIME TO PREPARE FOR TRIAL IN THIS CASE IF WE CONTINUE TO DELAY DEPOSITIONS. THAT'S ALL.

THE COURT: WE WILL HAVE TO SEE HOW IT PLAYS OUT.

MAYBE IF MR. MELNICK'S EXPERTS AND MR. COSGROVE'S EXPERTS,

YOU KNOW, PUT ENOUGH CARDS ON THE TABLE TO DEMONSTRATE WHAT

THE DEBATING POINTS ARE REGARDING THE CITY OF VENTURA'S

EXPERT, PERHAPS THEN IT IS FAIR TO EXPECT THE VENTURA EXPERT

TO BE DEPOSED, EVEN IF MS. JACOBSON'S EXPERT IS STILL

```
SOMEWHERE AS A WORK IN PROGRESS. BUT MAYBE NOT.
 1
 2
                   SO, YOU KNOW, IF YOU ARE GOING TO BE HIRING AN
 3
     EXPERT, YOU HAVE CASE TO GET ON WITH IT, MS. JACOBSON.
 4
                  ANYBODY ELSE WANT TO BE HEARD BEFORE WE RECESS?
 5
                   IF NOT, OUR NEXT DATE IS AUGUST 16 TO MY
     UNDERSTANDING. HOPEFULLY WE CAN HAVE A FULL SET OF THE
 6
 7
     OBJECTIONS TO THE PHYSICAL SOLUTION FOR ME TO REVIEW IN
 8
     ADVANCE OF THAT.
 9
                   THE COURT IS IN RECESS. THE CITY OF VENTURA TO
10
     GIVE NOTICE.
11
12
                   (THE MATTER WAS ADJOURNED AT 3:06 P.M.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

Original

34 1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 DEPARTMENT SSC-10 HON. WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER, JUDGE 4 5 SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER, 6 PLAINTIFF, CASE NO. 19STCP01176 7 VS. STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD, 8 ET AL., 9 DEFENDANTS. 10 11 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION. 12 13 14 I, KAREN VILICICH, CSR NO. 7634, OFFICIAL COURT 15 REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 16 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE 17 FOREGOING PAGES 1 THROUGH 33 COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND 18 CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN 19 THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER ON FRIDAY, JULY 23, 2021. 20 21 DATED THIS 29TH DAY OF JULY, 2021. 22 23 2.4 25 26 27 KAREN VILICICH, CSR NO. 7634 OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE 28

July 23, 2021 12th 23:26 accordingly 30:20 28:10 30:24,27 appellate 5:19 24:4,6,8,15 (5) 25:13 (23)affirmatively application 12:10 15day 26:9 1:28 ambiguity 17:1 14:8 18:11 account 28:27 **15th** 24:18 29:8 act 3:19,26,28 after 7:26 **amount** 13:28 applies 13:22 4:4,5,8 6:3 7:16 12:11,14 14:8,16 14:1 **19stcp01176** 1:1 11:25 13:3 (10) 17:18 20:10 22:1 appoint 1:28 34:7 23:4 24:22 analysis 6:6 7:21 actually 13:16 27:14,15 28:13 16:11 appreciate **22nd** 19:7,12 14:16 15:25 17:1 (13)5:24,27,28 7:3 19:25 24:3,21,23 analytical 23:13 17:14 23:17,22 **24th** 7:10 9:13,20 25:20 27:7,9 afternoon 31:5 30:18 (8) 10:2 11:3,11,19 28:12 31:26 (13) analyzing 22:27 12:19 13:21 again 2:23 13:27 approximately 18:1,3 19:8,12 add 20:20 17:16 27:23 30:20 10:3 andor 14:11 32:1,3,13 (16) (5) 17:21 18:10 19:5 addition 11:17 (4) **argue** 8:13 **29th** 34:21 agencies 10:16 angeles 1:3 30:7 argues 6:20 additional 2nd 20:1 13:9,24 32:5 34:2,16 (4) aggregate 6:16,21 argument 3:22 6:8 8:3 **31st** 15:4 16:6 address 26:13 announce 1:23 agree 13:9 **3rd** 25:1 addressing 23:22 annoyed 16:14 arguments 6:1 agreement 8:17 6th 19:28 24:23 adjourned 33:12 another 13:4,13 arizona 29:18 ahead 31:27 25:7 **75th** 24:23 adjudicating **around** 10:28 27:25 **al** 34:8 answer 13:22 **843b** 17:17,20,28 artillery 16:8 18:24 (4) albeit 4:23,25 answering 17:23 adjudication 3:17,26,28 aside 20:10 4:3,8,12,14,19 **843c** 18:14 aligned 12:1 anybody 2:22 6:12 7:17 8:14,22 21:12 ask 14:10 17:24 ability 5:1 11:25 allow 7:25 11:6 31:2,13 33:4 (7) 20:3 23:23 26:27 22:18,19 23:9,10,14 24:20 23:12 (5) **able** 1:23 9:20 anything 1:27 25:11 (17) 12:8 15:2 18:14 28:9 30:9 already 14:2 19:4 **asking** 14:13 18:20 27:10,14 (7) adopt 24:7.18 also 2:4 4:13 anyway 2:28 3:9 **abort** 1:20 advance 24:5 11:21,24 18:15 (5) **aspects** 3:3 4:5 33:8 anywhere 24:27 aboveentitled although 3:21 assemble 28:24 34:19 4:4 12:26 13:14 advancing 24:3 apart 21:23 (4) assertions 6:16 **appear** 17:19 accept 2:8 adversary 7:19 30:19 **am** 3:15,20,26 assistant 19:13 accepting 21:19 advised 2:2 6:21 8:2,20,23 10:11,24 15:19 assume 29:1 30:6 appearing 1:10 accident 28:1,13 advocacy 16:7,10 16:15 19:25 29:3 24:3,7 25:11,28 appears 9:1 attached 19:26 advocate 14:19 26:2,24 27:6,20

		AL., 1957CPU11	7 0	0
attention 5:28	basin 27:11	hit 12:16 22:7	13:6,8,26 14:19	certify 34:16
16:3 23:18 29:9 (4)	basis 4:9	bliss 27:2,4,4	15:7 18:7,21 20:19 21:16 28:22	changed 11:5
august 1:26 2:9	battling 10:17	30:10,11 31:3 (6)	30:2 33:6 (20)	channelkeeper
4:25,25 5:2,3,4,4,18,26	bear 19:19	bliss's 30:28	can't 27:9 29:20	1:13 34:5
9:11 13:5 15:2,4,25,25,28,28	beat 8:12	board 1:14 2:1 34:8	candidly 27:24	chapter 6:3
16:6,9,11 18:10 33:5 (23)	because 3:4,5	bona 9:21	cards 4:23 9:20 15:5,11 18:7 23:6	characteristics 9:2,7
authority 4:2,9	11:8 12:9 15:4 18:6 19:9,20	both 7:16 9:4	32:25 (7)	characterizing
5:19	20:8,16 23:5 26:24 27:16	11:26 22:24 23:18 (5)	care 3:9	9:5
authorize 12:25	28:20,23 29:8,20,27 (18)	breach 28:2	case 1:1,2 3:14,15 4:11 5:20	check 13:1
auto 28:1,13 29:3	becomes 20:21	brick 8:12	6:2,25 7:1 13:10,18 14:6	choice 3:27
available 30:13,14	before 4:15 14:10	brief 3:13	24:27 27:26,27 28:2,3,3,4,5,18	chose 4:1
average 29:3	15:1,4,6 16:18 22:17,19,22,25	briefs 3:12,12	29:3,14 32:7,21 33:3 34:6 (27)	chris 2:21
avoid 28:22	23:3 31:11 33:4 (13)	6:1,22 19:21 21:22 25:24 (7)	cases 27:26	circled 32:20
aware 3:16 21:17 28:14,17 (4)	behalf 30:5,26	bring 16:2 23:4	28:3,7,11,21 29:4 (6)	circumstance 12:6 30:3
away 17:17 28:26	being 1:21 7:15 8:19 9:20 17:14 (5)	bronze 10:18,20,21	casitas 8:27 9:4 10:8,13,16 11:18 14:3 31:15 (8)	circumstances 11:5 28:15,17
b 17:3	believe 4:19,24	bulletin 2:1	cast 20:9	city 2:16 3:11,13,21
back 1:19 11:4 17:26,26 19:22	12:18 13:26 21:26,28 22:14	bunch 8:19	catch 19:11	4:10,21,22,26,28 5:13 6:17 7:2 8:15
20:17 22:13 24:5,22 32:20 (10)	26:6 31:1 (9)	burdened 8:20	category 11:22	9:10,14,26 10:2,9,17
bacon 15:15	benefit 3:11 5:19 16:6 30:28 (4)	c 17:6,20	cause 6:15,20	11:21,26,27,28 12:1,2 14:3,28
baggerly 2:7,11,1	best 3:21 7:14	calendar 26:8	8:3,6,8,9 12:11 14:12 15:6 18:25	15:24 16:12,14 17:18 19:17,28
2,23,23,24,26 (7)	32:7	california 1:3 34:1,15	23:1 32:16 (12)	20:3 31:8 32:26 33:9 (37)
baggerly's 1:22,24	better 6:8	call 27:10,15	сер 5:22 14:5	city's 5:2 31:10
barbara 1:13	between 5:17,25 6:3 7:11 12:27	29:15,16 (4)	certain 17:25	civil 3:19,24
34:5	18:18 20:19 (7)	calls 10:27	certainly 4:16 5:23	4:4,5 7:16 13:3 (6)
base 11:25	beyond 4:11 11:28	came 20:8 26:11	certification 5:21	claims 4:10,11,12,14 (4)
basically 20:9	big 15:4	can 2:7 4:16 7:20 11:2,3,12 12:17,19	certified 27:11	clarification 8:28

POADD FT AT 100TCD01176

BOARD, ET AL., 19STCP01176 July 23, 2021 complete 8:17 9:22 10:5 21:15 considered 5:6 **could** 3:28 5:23 courthouse 30:5 6:7,22 15:28 17:8 30:18 (5) 20:11,21 22:25 (4) constituted 11:11 20:16,18 27:16 courtroom 26:26 29:15,23 30:15 29:24 30:8 clarify 9:7 completed 23:5 18:11,17 30:9 (4) consult 30:16 (12)compliance 14:5 critiques 20:13 clarifying 19:4,5 contemplate 12:9 counsel 2:16 complicated 22:28 cross 7:5 29:27 clear 25:22 11:7,10 contemplated 21:7 **count** 28:8 crossaction 34:11 **clerk** 19:12 **comply** 18:24 contemplates counted 24:22 **client** 15:19 comprehensive 12:9 4:18 county 34:2,16 **client's** 14:19 contemplating 26:22 comprise 34:17 23:18 **course** 22:27 **close** 1:21 22:2 csr 1:5 34:14,28 concern 21:28 context 27:25 court 22:12,16 32:4,19 closed 22:6 28:1 1:9,13,19,27 current 6:18 2:15,19,22,24 (5) closer 24:9 continue 2:9 3:1,4,27 4:1,17,28 currently 10:25 concerns 19:3 5:17,25 6:1,13,16 32:22 11:11 **code** 3:24 32:20 7:4,9,24,25 contract 28:3 8:7,8,9,14,22 cut 21:8 30:12 **coin** 7:5 9:10,18,24,27 conclusion contrary 3:12 8:18,24 10:6,11,19,22 cutoff 22:1 24:19 6:20 7:8 college 2:3 11:5,13,17 25:6,18 27:8,14 concurrent 15:27 12:11,24 (6)**come** 7:8 control 1:14 34:8 14:3,23,24 11:13,16 15:7 confer 22:9 15:7,9,13,17,21 16 damages 28:5,7 17:26,26 :5,11,13,18,18,21, controlled 4:16 29:5,11,19,22 25,26 date 5:2,3 11:12 conference 1:26 30:5,7,7 (13) 2:4,10,20 18:10 17:4,12,14,26 18:6 15:4,6,22 19:11,23 convenient 30:13 19:28 20:25 22:10 20:11,25 21:23 ,15,21,22,24,28 26:1 (9) 19:2,9,13,16,19,21 22:1 23:26,27 coming 11:4 copies 20:6 20:2,4,14,15,24 24:4,5 25:3,6,8 commenced conferences **copy** 2:4 21:4,11,16 27:14 33:5 (21) 25:20 12:14 22:3,12,13,16,26 23:23 correct 4:10 **dated** 34:21 confirm 16:4 12:23 19:14 21:3 **comment** 5:24,28 24:3,13,15,18,26 23:16 27:23 (4) 25:21 30:17 34:18 25:2,7,10,18,22 dates 19:17 **conflict** 3:25 6:6 (7)26:2,5,8,10,21 21:14,16 22:8 (4) 27:1,3,22 29:21 commitment 12:3 30:20 31:2,13,17,1 conflicts 11:8 correctly 27:21 david 8:26 15:15 9,21,23,27 21:27 cosgrove 32:2,9,16,23 33:9 common 22:3 confusion 16:19 8:25,26,26 9:15,22 34:1,14,15 (122) day 6:26 21:5 16:23 31:15,16 (8) 24:23 31:8 34:21 commonly 2:17 connection 4:15 court's 1:25 2:9 (5) 5:28 6:5 8:18 (5) competent 30:22 conscious 3:27 cosgrove's 32:24 days 24:27 26:4,8 complaining 20:8 consider 9:16 **costs** 8:19 courtappointed 29:2 (4) 10:3,6,9 (4) 1:22

	,	AL., 1951CPUII		4
deadline 10:2 11:19 12:19,20,21	demonstrate 28:15 32:25	31:8	double 13:1	ended 25:1
13:21,25 14:11,12 15:3 18:4 19:10	department 1:4	disclosure 5:11 12:25,27	doubt 30:3	ends 22:22
21:9 24:8,24 32:14 (16)	34:3 depo 25:18 32:11	13:16,17,25 14:14 17:2,3,4,21,21,28 18:2 32:2 (15)	down 5:13,14 12:7 24:1 (4)	enough 5:25 8:11 12:7 15:12 16:15,21 32:21,25
deal 11:6,15 14:15 15:14 17:2	depos 22:2,25	disclosures 21:5	downtown 30:7	(8)
32:12 (6)	23:19	23:27	due 4:17 5:6	entitled 5:7 15:10
dealing 3:20 10:25	depose 29:20	discovery 3:11,19	each 7:22	entity 11:24
deals 3:15,16	deposed 29:14,26,27 30:1	4:1,4,5,15,16 6:3 7:16 13:3 17:21	early 4:24 20:8 27:8,12 (4)	equally 3:3
debating 32:26	31:11 32:1,18,28 (8)	20:27,28 21:1,8 22:6,22,25	east 7:6,12 8:14	equitable 12:2
december 25:14	deposition 29:21	23:1,5,11,12 28:22,24 30:14	10:17 11:18,28 14:4,28 (8)	essentially 6:23 et 34:8
decide 14:19	depositions 13:12 32:8,22	(25) discretion 4:17	educate 23:15	even 32:28
decided 27:15	describing 30:27	discussed 26:6,7	educated 26:25	events 28:19
decision 2:13	determinate 9:8	discussion 1:17	efficiency 8:18	every 4:5 22:2
declaration 26:19,22	determine 4:9	disposition 1:25	effort 12:15	everything 12:8
	5:9	•	efiling 24:26	
declarations 12:10	diddle 4:5	dispositive 5:20 22:1,2,22,23 23:4,7 (7)	eight 29:7	ex 12:10 14:7 15:3 17:18 18:10 32:5 (6)
defamation 28:3	didn't 2:5 25:3	dividing 2:3	either 17:20 18:9 20:7	exactly 10:1 13:6
defamatory 28:14,16	different 9:1 19:23 20:3 27:28 29:17 30:1 (6)	document 19:25 20:9,24	electronically 19:24	16:16 17:8 (4) examine 29:28
default 6:11,11 7:15 17:17 (4)	differently 6:13	documents 13:16	elegant 3:22	exchange 3:22
defendants 7:6	disadvantage 8:5	does 3:21 4:9	elegantly 16:22	6:4,12 7:16 25:27 (5)
34:9 defined 18:22	disagree 6:5,7 10:11 31:2,13 (5)	8:4,5 12:9 23:8 29:3 30:9,11 (9)	eligible 32:18	exchanging 9:2
delay	disclose 12:20	doesn't 29:15	else 2:22 8:22 14:20 21:12 33:4	exercise 4:17,20 6:18,27,28 23:13
14:10,11,14,14 16:6 32:8,10,11,22	19:7 31:11 32:14 (4)	doing 16:15 18:9	(5)	(6)
(9)	disclosed 13:3	done 1:21 13:14,26 17:5	emerges 22:26	exhibit 25:23
delayed 23:12 delete 24:15	14:9 32:6	18:1 23:11,25 25:20 29:2 (9)	end 4:25 5:2 6:26 9:11 28:20,28 (6)	exhibits 25:27
ueieie 24:13	disclosing 27:5			expect 9:12 10:23

July 23, 2021 12:2 32:27 (4) expressly 6:6 file&serve 2:1 **found** 8:7,8,9 gives 12:25 15:10 26:23 (4) 15:25 24:27 expected 32:3 giving 14:25 31:9 **four** 17:1 extend 13:28 **filed** 7:26 expense 14:21 20:1,12,14,14,15 **glad** 26:11 18:16 22:20 extension 32:15 frankly 6:16 (6)10:27 20:15 **go** 3:1 4:11,21 extent 22:5 23:28 files 32:4 5:12,13 6:14 expensive 8:20 22:16 28:20,22 (4) friday 1:3 19:7 7:2,18 8:4 15:3 extra 27:18 25:10 34:19 (4) 16:7,12 20:17 **filing** 24:20 experience 26:23 28:12 30:24,25,26 27:24,25,28 28:20 final 25:28 **f** 1:4 34:3 full 20:12 23:16 31:27 (18) (5) 33:6 34:17 (4) **god** 23:11 **find** 14:18 **fact** 16:4 22:24,28 24:22 (4) 20:19,24 fullfledged 17:28 **expert** 1:22,28 3:19 4:1,16 30:21,24,25,26 (7) **goes** 23:22 5:1,7,10 6:4,28 **fully** 18:22 factfinding 6:27 7:7,10,22 8:4,20 **finding** 12:1 15:1 going 2:27 4:26 facts 28:24 5:10 6:13,26,27 9:6,12,12,14,21 **further** 2:8,18 fine 2:19 24:11 32:8 7:8 9:8 10:12 12:6 10:23,24,26 11:3 12:4,6,20,20,22 fair 8:11 15:12 25:10,17,18,24,27 13:11,25 15:2,5 13:2,14,23 20:22,23 23:5 29:25 31:25 (9) game 23:20 16:1 20:20 14:11,14 15:10,20 32:27 (6) 21:4,17 22:6 16:1,10 18:1 fire 2:27 gave 28:17 23:19 26:18 27:15 **fairly** 30:27 29:6 30:3,24 31:8 21:5,25 22:2,16,20 23:27 25:18 first 3:20 4:21 general 3:18,23 32:19 33:2 (28) fairness 12:24 27:10,15,16,17,17 5:18 6:14,24 22:10 28:25 29:4 30:16 7:2,18,21,26 8:4 **good** 6:15 7:1 31:10 32:1,6,10,11 fall 28:2 10:13 13:14 15:11 generally 23:3 8:3,6,8,9 12:11 ,14,17,27,27,28 16:12 19:6 21:5 28:10,11 29:28 (4) 14:12 15:6 18:25 33:3 (65) falls 9:4 27:26 29:12 31:8 19:2 22:28 23:1 32:18 (20) **genius** 26:23 24:28 25:16 26:12 expert's 7:19 falsity 28:16 30:16 32:16 (18) **flesh** 22:5 gentlemen 16:25 far 12:7 13:28 expertdriven **got** 2:5 18:6 6:25 19:13 21:6 25:16 30:19 (4) flip 7:5 8:2 geology 27:11 26:8,12 28:13 focus 7:20 expertretained february 25:28 **get** 2:26 13:6,13 30:4,6,21 (10) 9:3 17:25 22:17 26:2 focused 19:22 29:20,23,24 32:20 gotten 12:7 feel 5:22 18:2 experts 7:12,20 33:3 (10) 10:28 11:7 following 1:8 government few 28:3 11:24 13:9,11 14:1 **gets** 30:19 **forbid** 23:11 21:24 23:19,25 **fide** 9:21 25:14 getting 10:27 **grace** 12:27 21:21 29:22 **force** 6:14 28:4,8,20,22,28 29:2,9 31:11 **field** 14:1 gracious 16:20 32:24,24 (21) foregoing 34:17 give 4:9 7:19,19 **fifth** 10:19 16:15 17:12 19:23 **grand** 29:8 forgetting 26:13 express 4:2 18:17 33:10 (7) 21:22 figure 11:1,14 grant 4:2 32:16 given 9:10 11:13 forward expressing 3:12 file 24:25 13:10,11,18 13:28 granted 4:18

		AL., 1951CPUII		
14:15	haven't 5:7 9:6	hiring 12:4,22 13:23,23 33:2 (5)	identification 14:12	23:27
grasp 13:6	having 27:18 30:12 32:21	his 7:11	identified 21:28	injured 28:14
greetings 18:28	he 16:21 28:13	holly 9:25	27:16	injuries 28:27
greg 8:16	he 10.21 28.13	hon 1:4 34:3	identify 22:24	injury 28:4
groundwater			identity 17:2,5	inquiry 14:7
3:17,25,28 4:3,8,12,19 12:8	headwaters 11:23	honor 2:19 5:16,24 6:10	ie 4:12	insofar 29:12
(8) group 7:6	hear 5:14	7:3,15 8:1,11,16,26 9:25 10:10,25 12:13	impact 32:17	intend 4:20
10:17,26 11:11,18 14:4,28 (7)	heard 2:8,17,22 8:15,22 9:24	14:22,27 15:12 16:9,17,24 17:7,10	important 11:23 13:13,15 28:7 (4)	intended 26:9
guess 5:2 18:6	15:13 18:26 21:12 22:23 28:14 31:4	18:13,19,27 19:15,27 20:23	impression 3:20	intensive 29:4
19:5,22 23:27 32:9 (6)	33:4 (13)	21:3,10,27 24:12,21 25:17,21	5:18	interest 11:8 32:7
guys 2:26	hearing 25:3,8,25	26:16,27 27:2 31:1,18,22,24 (42)	inclined 16:12 19:17	interesting 27:22
hagerty 2:17	held 1:8,17 34:18	honor's 15:24	including 14:5	interplay 3:15,16 6:3
5:14 12:12 16:3,5 23:24 (6)	help 12:15,17 23:14 30:9 (4)	hope 14:22 22:18	32:2	into 8:12
hagerty's 30:25	helped 13:18	24:10	incredible 27:5	29:20,23
handle 11:1	helpful 26:24	hopefully 33:6	incurred 22:17,20	invitations 12:14
hang 20:2	30:4,6	housekeeping 9:28	indeed 29:1,26	invoke 17:16,19
hanging 2:27	here 15:7 16:26 17:12 20:18 (4)	how 2:26 5:12,13 11:1,14 19:1	independently 6:23	is 1:25 2:23,27 3:3,14,14,15 4:2,3,10,15,16
happen 3:6 4:26 24:10	hereafter 18:24	23:21 26:4 28:26 29:5,27 32:23 (12)	indicate 18:21	5:13,25 6:2,4,8,10, 12,13,18,19,25 7:5
happy 29:26	hereby 34:16	hub 11:26	indicated 4:22	,8,15,17,18,21,24, 27 8:2,3,3,16
hard 9:5 16:21	herrema 8:25	hypothetically	7:7 16:19	9:3,3,7,25 10:25 11:4,8
20:6	hi 18:28	24:4	indisputably 4:14	12:2,19,21,23,26 1 3:5,7,8,13,14,25,2
harder 22:7	highberger 1:4 2:13 34:3	i'm 10:27 17:7 18:16 22:21 26:11	individual 12:15	8 14:3,4,7,17,22 15:1,15,21,23,27 1
has 3:4 6:1,17 8:8 9:1 10:2 11:25,25 12:16 13:7,18	highberger's 32:18	(5) i've 23:25	inherent 8:5 14:12	6:1,4,6,10,10,11,1 4,28 17:1,3 18:5,24,27 19:6,7,
14:8 16:21,27 17:1 18:22 22:20 23:5 26:20 32:5	highlights 23:10	idea 30:16	inherently 7:18 8:3	8,9,16,16,27 20:22,23,25,28 21:8,10,13,27 22:1
(20)	hired 18:1	ideal 22:5	initial 13:17 21:5	,3,3,4,6,14,16,17,2 5 23:9,12,19,28

July 23, 2021 likelihood 7:7 24:11,23,28 25:7 judgment 9:16 last 29:2 lots 7:1 10:28 26:7,9,16,18,22 22:18,19,27 27:3,7,8,8,25,26 23:9,14 25:9 (7) lastminute 23:11 likely 14:15 lower 8:19 28:12,21,24 29:8,14,16,18 iudgmentsumma late 5:3,4 limine 25:6,24,26 ma'am 9:27 rv 24:19 25:11 30:1,1,6,25 15:25,28 23:20 (5) 27:23 31:8,8,28 32:4,15, **limit** 18:8 29:15 17,19,19,27,28 judicial 2:3 19:13 **later** 16:8 **made** 17:18 33:5,9 (143) **limited** 3:4 14:1 july 1:3 19:28 law 3:1 25:5 26:22 major 5:6 9:3,18 isn't 22:21 20:1,25 34:19,21 10:1,4,7,9 11:18 (6) lawsuit 7:26,28 limits 11:27,28 15:1 23:19 **issue** 4:13 31:9,11 (12) 10:10,27 11:7 june 20:28 lawyer 12:10 **list** 10:12 15:27 21:25 23:3 27:24,27 (4) 25:23,24 make 2:27 3:22 22:5,28 23:10 4:20 5:10 6:15 just 2:26 3:2 4:25 26:16 (10) litigation 10:26 7:18 8:4 12:3,10 7:22 11:12 lawyers 3:5 12:9,13 14:27 28:11 15:3,11 16:28 **issues** 10:25 17:25 21:14 25:19 learner 26:24 17:24,28 18:10 11:13,16 13:19 26:24 27:19 30:12 little 12:26 22:6 19:10 23:5 24:6 23:11 27:19 31:12 22:4,24,24 (7) 31:7 (15) least 13:22 31:9 25:22 26:9 (20) 32:18 (5) italy 3:2 justice 2:4 makes 31:25 leave 22:28 live 26:18 items 20:12 justifies 5:21 making 2:13 8:2 **legal** 3:2,7 loa 27:4 10:12 14:7 16:18 18:2,16 (7) its 3:21 4:23,28 karen 1:5 5:1 13:7 14:9 (6) 34:14,28 legislature 4:4,18 **lodged** 20:14 7:17,25 (4) management 7:2 itself 6:9 keep 10:12 18:7 **logic** 6:22 many 26:4 28:21 legislature's 3:27 kind 6:27 28:21 long 3:7 4:13 jacobson let 6:8 11:12 13:1 28:26 29:8 (4) 9:25,26,28 11:20 marc 18:27 21:13 kinds 28:7 15:14 16:12,13 12:13 13:2 14:21,22 31:19,21, 20:9,24 22:13 matter 16:2 longest 6:18 22,26,27,28 know 9:15 23:23 (10) 33:12 34:19 32:4,13,19 33:3 11:2,13 12:6 14:9 longwinded 15:2 22:11 23:20 let's 25:2 13:20 (18)may 27:10 30:15,22 11:4,13,16,27 32:6,25 33:2 (14) liability 28:5,6 jacobson's 32:28 look 17:8,10 12:26 16:2 18:15 20:7 21:18 january 25:5,10, **known** 2:17 lieu 24:8 **looked** 19:21 27:5,9,11,17,21 18,23,25,26 (6) 28:4,6,6,6 30:18 knows 29:27 **lifted** 20:28 21:1 **looking** 10:10,28 32:9,9,10,11,17 jeff 15:15 12:18 (24)la 29:19 **light** 6:27 **job** 30:25 los 1:3 30:7 **maybe** 4:25 like 2:28 5:5 10:17 14:17 32:24 laboring 12:17 34:2,16 (4) john 19:11 6:10,25 10:4 12:5 33:1 (5) land 18:15 22:25 24:4 27:13 lost 28:5 judge 1:4 2:13 28:4,27 29:28 me 2:7,27 23:2 27:24,26 landowners 32:11 (13) **lot** 27:10 4:9,15,18 6:19 34:3 (6) 12:15 8:21 12:25 13:1

DOIND EM 11 10000001176

BOARD, ET AL., 19STCP01176 July 23, 2021 monday 1:20 note 20:3 26:9 15:10,14 16:22 27:5,23,25 31:2 24:1,4,9,11,18 32:4,19 33:5 (22) 17:12 19:19,23 29:8 (23) 20:24 21:6 22:13 **month** 9:11 nothing 26:15 23:8,15,23 25:15 naivete 27:5 **odd** 23:8 27:13 31:12 33:7 more 2:25 6:19 **notice** 14:25 (25)12:12 14:15 24:27 **name** 1:2 25:12 33:10 off 1:15,17 16:7 (5) 21:8 30:12 (5) mean 5:4 25:19 narrow 22:24 november 23:26 most 13:15 24:3,6,8,15,19,22, offer 5:10 9:21 means 30:14 23,24 25:2 (10) 27:23 28:25 29:11 narrowed 13:19 (5) motion 1:22,24,25,26 2:9 meant 22:21,22 national 2:3 now 3:10 4:5 3:9 22:1,1,3 5:18,25 18:4,18 offered 15:25 23:4,7 25:5,12 medical 28:4,25 **nature** 18:12 20:16 26:19 30:2 29:10 (14) 27:24,27 29:8,18 necessary 23:12 meet 11:2,3,11 (12)official 34:14,28 12:19 22:9 (5) motions 22:22,23 28:24 25:6,6,9,12,24,26 number 1:1 oft 3:2 (8) need 2:8 8:5,23 10:14,16,16 11:14 melnick 18:27,27 **oh** 5:17 19:1,3,14,15,20,25 9:19 11:5 13:24 14:1 (6) 21:11,13,13 move 13:18 14:25 18:2 19:18 26:12,14,15,27 17:17 21:17 23:24 (11) oar 12:17 ojai 7:6,12 31:17,18,20 (18) 8:14,15 9:26 moves 4:6 negotiate 12:11 oath 29:24 30:8 10:2,9,17,17 melnick's 32:24 11:18,21,27 12:16 **moving** 13:10 nero 15:16 **object** 21:11,12 13:22 14:4,4,28 27:4 (18) **merely** 15:24 nervous 2:28 ms 9:25,28 11:20 objection 21:14 12:13 13:2 31:20,24 might 5:23 9:7 once 23:13 16:7 18:14 22:23 14:21,22 27:2,4 never 16:20 26:17 27:8,13 30:10,11,28 31:3,1 27:27 objections 20:6 one 2:5,26 6:14 30:13 (9) 9,21,22,26,27,28 21:18,22,26 33:7 7:18 8:4 9:2,8 32:4,13,19,28 33:3 10:14,16,23,25 nevertheless (5) minimum 31:10 11:28 15:19 16:25 19:4 (24)24:21 25:3 28:19 obligation 17:27 minor 5:9 msa 24:25 new 7:27 18:12,23,23,25 (5) 31:7 (18) 9:3,5,19 15:17 18:16 19:6 (7) msj 24:25 obligations 17:22 ones 19:21 newer 4:3 **minute** 17:10 much 28:9 next 1:25 2:20 observation onewell 15:19 30:11,17 31:12 (4) 29:7 33:5 (4) 15:24 **modest** 14:14 only 4:26 8:28 9:20 11:22 13:27 multiple 10:27 obvious 3:23 **no** 1:5 3:14,15 modifications 6:2 7:24 12:22 14:13 15:28 17:2 21:6 13:22 15:20 19:9 obviously 6:1 mutual 4:27 (8)22:21 7:13 8:17 10:8 modified 21:6 mutuality 21:23 31:16,18,20,22,24 23:20 (5) **open** 1:9 32:13 34:6,14,28 modifies 18:25 **my** 3:14 4:13 (19)october 5:11 **opinion** 7:8,11 28:25 5:5.12 8:9.12 13:26 15:22 18:5. **modify** 25:12 10:26 11:2 13:21 **nobody** 29:27 8,9,11,12,14,17,18 ,23 19:7,8,12.14 14:3 15:17 19:16 opinions 7:23 moment 26:25 22:10 23:16 26:23 normally 28:1 21:8

July 23, 2021 10:4 opportunity 8:13 29:14 32:4 (7) 20:7,13,21 33:7 21:9,18 22:27 (6) 24:28 26:12 27:22 opposition 6:22 out 5:1 6:10 passed 7:26 30:21 (21) 21:19 10:18 11:1,14 physically 29:21 15:11 17:25 patient 28:13 pointed 17:14 order 10:13,13 22:5,10 24:9,13,14 **piece** 16:7,10 22:9 14:23 17:6 29:10 32:23 (14) patterson 18:5,17 19:17 8:16,16 10:22,24 **points** 32:26 pisano 2:19,21 31:7,10 (9) outside 27:25 31:23,24 (6) 5:14,16,24,27 7:3,13 8:1,8,11 population 10:3 ordered 13:15 own 11:15 13:10 patterson's 12:5 10:6,8,15,21 12:12 11:22 14:26,27 15:12 14:6 orders 17:4 owner 15:19 16:3,5,9,20,23 position 14:19 15:1 30:21 **pause** 17:9 19:26,27 20:22,23 original 32:20 21:2,3,9,10,21,25 **pages** 34:17 possibility 11:4 23:24 24:2,11,14,1 **people** 2:3 5:5 **papers** 6:8,9 8:19 10:3,28 7,21,28 osias 15:15,15,19,23 20:20,21 (4) 15:14 17:16 18:26 25:5,9,17,21 possible 18:13 16:14,16,27 20:8 21:17 26:21 26:5,6 30:28 17:13,15,22,23 paralegal 20:18 27:10 28:18 30:15 31:1,6,7 (51) possibly 15:8 18:4,13,19 (14)21:27,27 parameters **pisano's** 31:13 posthearing 2:2 18:22 22:14,15,21 23:17 percent 23:18 32:17 24:9 (21) postings 2:6 paraphrasing percipient 21:7 plaintiff 34:6 other 5:6 9:3 17:7 24:18 27:7 potentially 23:6 28:8,12 29:6,12 **play** 1:27 13:6 14:28 15:14 18:26 19:17 part 20:5 (7) power 12:25 28:20,21,23 (4) 21:14,16,25,26 **player** 9:3,6 15:11 23:25 25:6,12 perhaps 11:27 10:4,9 11:19 26:24 32:27 26:13 27:1,28 15:17 18:7 (7) powers 4:20 parte 12:10 14:7 28:7 31:9 (19) 15:3 17:18 18:10 17:19 32:5 (6) **period** 12:27 players 5:6,9 others 9:24 15:13 10:1,7 15:1 18:16 practice 3:1 25:12 29:10 24:10 31:4 (4) participants 1:9 19:6 23:19 **person** 16:26 31:9,11 (10) otherwise 3:26 participate 11:6 persons 12:16 precipitous 27:20 4:2,22 5:1 8:14 29:13 27:9 plays 32:23 11:28 13:10 17:4 prefer 26:18,25 21:22 23:2,28 plenty 7:10 particular 27:11 perspective 7:4 30:27 (12) preference 13:21 particularly 3:17 persuaded 3:26 plus 23:2,3 ought 1:27 5:12 7:6 14:13 20:4 (4) 6:21 prejudice 14:7 9:18 23:11 (4) **pm** 1:6 33:12 **parties** 3:6 5:7 persuasiveness prepare 32:21 6:14 9:2 11:6,14 6:28 our 1:25 12:14 pocket 18:7 15:5 18:4 20:5,20 13:8 27:14 29:11 prepared 14:20 29:9 32:20 33:5 (9)phasing 3:10 pockets 13:8 (9) 17:21 presumably 6:25 21:18 **parts** 17:1 point 1:24 2:8 **phone** 10:27 ours 7:8 3:14 5:21 6:10 party 6:14,24 presumeably 7:14,15 8:2,2,28 7:18,19 13:6 ourselves 9:5 **physical** 6:19,24 9:15 13:5,9 16:27 21:1

July 23, 2021 10 10:5 20:11 (6) pretrial 19:17 provide 13:15 rank 10:13,13 reports 12:9 31:12 32:2 20:27 25:23 31:10 rather 7:22 14:14 records 20:18 pretty 11:10 19:2 **provides** 4:8 8:18 16:8 28:26 request 7:4 30:16 14:15,27 16:9,11 providing 20:22 31:7,14,28 reach 3:8 22:18 red 6:26 preview 31:9 13:9,25 32:5 (10) react 15:8 reference 5:2 previously 13:15 **provision** 6:11,11 requested 9:22 reactive 23:13 26:7 refute 7:21 32:15 provisions 3:18,18,23,24,25 regard 20:11 **prior** 12:14 26:16 read 6:1 requirement 17:17 29:1 (7) 14:6 **pro** 34:28 reading 20:16 regarding 3:19 10:1 23:6 32:26 reserve 5:9 9:16 **proxy** 23:28 ready 7:11 15:28 **(4)** 25:10 probably 5:19 19:9 24:28 29:3 prudently 4:17 16:7 (4) registered 11:23 reserved 25:25 real 13:27 22:27 **pull** 19:24 20:5 problems 1:21 regret 29:19 reserving 26:4 **purposes** 4:7 5:3 really 7:20 8:5 procedure 3:24 25:19 13:6,18,24 16:20 related 34:11 residents 11:26 22:26 29:1,2 (9) 31:3 resolve 26:19 **push** 13:4 24:5 release 13:5 reason 6:17 7:24 proceedings 1:8 34:18 **put** 4:23 5:1 7:9 12:7 13:4,13,27 relevant 15:21 resources 1:14 8:4 9:19 19:9 29:10 30:5 34:8 process 13:23 10:15,15,17 11:21 (9)relieved 17:27 28:22 30:27 15:5,11 23:6,28 respect 11:15 25:5 28:27 32:25 reasonable 14:18 relying 13:16,17 processes 23:14 21:9 22:12 31:14 (16)respectfully 6:5 (4) remember 21:21 product 28:5 putting 7:22 respond 7:12 9:13 reasons 3:7 7:2 remotely 1:10 production 12:27 qualified 27:9,12 14:11 rebuttal 9:21 reopen 23:1 response 2:2,5 5:4 11:12 16:16 **question** 3:10,20 5:18 9:28 17:24 22:11 (6) progress 33:1 received 21:26 repairing 4:12 19:5,16,22 20:24 21:23 29:17 30:2 reply 3:13 6:20 responses 25:26 properties 11:15 recess 33:4,9 31:26 (13) property 15:19 recite 21:16 report 7:20,22 result 3:8 6:20 questions 19:5 9:11,14 22:23 27:1 proponent 6:18 recognizes 7:17 12:21,26,28 13:2,14,26 14:5,9, retain 9:12 12:6 10,13,16,18,20 proposal 11:3 quickly 3:6 recollection 21:20 21:19 15:3,10 17:3,19 retained 5:7 quite 3:23 14:18 19:23,24,27 20:25 9:6,19 10:22,24 proposed 19:18 recommend 32:5,14,15 (28) (5)20:27 25:8 raised 22:9 26:15 27:17 27:22 retaining 9:12 reporter 1:5 **prove** 28:6 34:15,28 13:10 record ran 6:26 1:15,17,19 8:10

BOARD, ET AL., 19STCP01176 July 23, 2021 11 review 31:2 33:7 **sbc** 1:2 7:10 9:13 10:2,23 significant 5:20 29:7 (8) 11:3,11,19 12:3,19 right 5:9,27 6:2 scanned 20:10 13:21 14:4,8,10 similar 9:28 sometime 14:8 10:7 18:4,6 21:2 17:18 18:11 15:21 17:26,27 29:12 (8) schedule 15:26 18:1,3,10,11,23 similarlysituated 12:16 16:2 20:27 19:12 21:4 rise 8:28 28:17 21:7,22 23:23 32:1,3,13 (28) 26:20 (7) **simply** 12:21 risk 9:20 sequence 4:1 28:6 scheduling 13:11 6:13 river 11:24 simultaneous scheme 29:9 3:22 4:24 6:12 sequencing 7:25 road 12:7 22:4 7:16 (4) scientific 16:10 robie 2:4 sequential 12:25 sir 19:1 sorry 22:21 scrambling 16:1 **ruled** 19:4 **served** 20:12 sketched **second** 16:27 24:13,14 17:12 19:16 23:26 ruling 1:23 2:9 service 20:25 10:12 18:21 sketching 24:8 (4) 22:3,17 (6) sorts 3:7 session 1:6 section 3:18,26 slip 28:2 rulings 2:28 14:5 set 20:12,21 33:6 **sound** 1:20 **slow** 3:3,3 26:24 said 15:25,27 sections 17:11 **settle** 28:21 16:4,23 19:6 slowly 3:1 32:11 see 1:26 9:10,17 23:21 (6) several 3:12 6:21 11:18 12:17 14:17 small 16:18 18:7 15:9,10 17:18 same 13:3 16:17 shared 2:6 27:14 31:7 (4) 15:14 17:5,6 (4) 21:17 22:13 23:21 10:20,21 25:3 32:23 (14) **solution** 6:19,24 sanchez 20:5,19 **shoot** 16:7 20:7,13 33:7 (5) seek 1:28 2:5 29:28 (7) santa 1:13 34:5 **shooting** 14:9,17 some 1:9 3:3 7:5 seem 31:12 8:18,19 satisfied 8:23 should 15:7 11:13,16,26

sometimes 3:4,5 somewhat 27:28 somewhere 33:1 sooner 15:7 16:8 sophistry 7:12 sort 9:1,18 23:17 28:19 29:28 (5) sounds 24:9 speak 6:9 8:23 speaking 23:3 27:3,27 28:1,10,11 specifically 4:11 12:7,14 13:8,17 **seemed** 27:19 17:5,17 20:14 specificity 4:7 22:8 26:4 (6) 19:23 21:14 saturday 24:24 seems 22:4 27:13 22:24,28 23:28 spirit 4:6 save 14:20 18:16 shoulders 14:2 24:10 26:21 27:18 28:19 seen 14:16 20:9 28:3,23 29:10,26 ssc10 1:4 34:3 **showing** 6:15 8:6 30:4,21 (26) saw 28:12,19 send 20:18 stack 20:16 **shown** 6:19,22 somebody 14:20 say 2:24 12:14,21 14:13 18:25 (4) 28:26 29:14 staff 20:4 sense 31:25 23:2 (4) 30:1,6,26 32:10 sensible 14:18 side 7:5,22 8:2 **(7) stand** 26:26 29:24 saying 5:8 6:23 11:5 13:7.20 sent 2:2 side's 8:4 someone 16:1 16:20 22:14 25:7 start 15:23 16:19 (8) separate 15:27 sides 9:4 something 2:24 26:2 5:8 11:25 12:19 says 17:4,6 september 5:6,8 signature 34:26 13:8 22:26 23:9 started 16:18

Coalition Court Reporters | 213.471.2966 | www.ccrola.com

July 23, 2021 12 subject 4:11 state 1:13,27 5:5 **system** 3:2,8 testimony 8:20 think 2:13 4:8 11:19 24:6 26:22 27:16,18 6:23 10:7,8,15 5:20,21 6:7,13,16 29:11 30:12 34:18 11:17 14:3 table 4:23 7:9,23 7:1,4 8:18 9:4,18 34:1,8,15 (12) 9:20 15:5 23:6 10:21 11:24 submit 5:8 **(7)** 32:25 (7) 12:2,24 15:9 stated 5:1 6:7 submitted 19:28 than 4:3 6:8,19 16:3,23,27 tad 11:28 7:22,27 14:14 17:16,23 24:11,24 statement 22:10 substitute 17:20 16:8 21:25 23:25 26:7,16,21,25 29:5 28:16 29:22 take 4:28 5:3,17 25:6 (10) 30:18 31:24 32:6 16:16 18:9 20:17 (32)statements 25:23 successful 22:3 23:21 29:24 30:8 thank 2:12,12,15 thinking 22:8 28:14 (9)5:16 8:12,21,26 such 7:20 18:1 9:9,23 11:17 states 3:4 27:16 28:27 (4) taken 12:16 12:13 16:17 thinks 22:12 18:13,19 19:15 takes 3:7 sufficient 6:20 21:16 23:17 those 2:6 4:20 9:7 status 1:26 2:9,20 11:26,27 17:9,10 18:9 19:23,24,28 26:11,28 30:11,17 19:18 22:8 28:21 20:25 22:10 25:28 suggest 17:20 talk 16:19 19:18 (21)(10)23:1 24:5 26:17 28:12,18 (10)29:6 (6) their 5:10 statute 3:17 7:20,20,22 11:15 though 22:4 suggests 1:27 4:3,12,19 6:12 talked 11:2 13:10.16 14:2 7:17,26,27,27 12:8 summary 17:7 15:26 23:19 thought 15:24 talking 30:23 19:6 (10)22:17,18,19,19,27 28:25,27 31:12 23:9,10,14,14 32:6 (14) statutes 6:6 24:19 25:9,11 (13) talks 6:2 three 17:10 them 4:6,20 8:4 statutory 29:1 sunday 19:8,10 **tandem** 27:17 10:13 13:15 16:15 through 20:17 28:21 34:17 20:7,16 21:17 stay 20:28 21:1 **superior** 34:1,15 tax 11:25 25:19 29:15,20,20, 25:2 28:26 (4) 22,23,28 throughput 3:5 supplemental technically 27:12 30:24,25,26 (19) 25:14 **step** 28:19 time 1:6,21,26 tell 2:7 16:21 2:27 3:7 5:25 themselves 12:1 15:1 7:10,19 9:5 12:12 sticky 22:27 support 2:14 10:26 13:3,24 16:19,22 telling 16:22 still 21:8 32:28 **theory** 24:26 17:5,7 20:17 29:25 32:5,21 (19) supposed 12:26 tempore 34:28 stops 10:19,21 tend 23:13 thereabouts 29:7 **times** 3:2 supposedly 28:16 streamline 12:15,17 sure 16:28 17:24 tentative 9:1 therefore 3:20 today 16:22 19:25 20:10,19 (5) 18:21 26:17 4:19 12:18 streamlined termination 3:16,25,28 4:8 (4) surely 10:7 28:2,18 thereto 2:5 together 20:5 terms 17:9 20:6 streamlining suspect 9:14,16 these 4:7 5:3 togo's 7:21 29:13 32:7 22:10 20:11 25:19 27:6 30:15 (6) took 3:9 strike 23:8 swapped 22:9 terribly 5:20 things 1:20 3:1,6 **total** 10:2 testify 29:19,25 strong 8:6 swrcb 1:2 13:17 26:13 27:20 29:9 (7) toward 12:18

July 23, 2021 13 trail 1:25 understand 5:23 14:8 16:14 20:4 15:23,26 16:2 whereas 22:18 8:1 12:21 18:5 32:11,27 33:9 (19) 21:14 26:27 (7) trailing 2:20 22:13 27:7 30:14 whether 5:9 12:3 32:13 (8) wants 5:13 10:26 13:7,23 21:19 ventura's 3:11,13 transcript 34:18 9:11 13:14 17:10 26:17,18 27:15 understanding 14:16,18 17:19 28:1,25 treated 28:13 3:14 15:18 27:20 21:20 31:28 32:26 water 1:14 29:14,15,25 30:1,2 33:6 (4) (10)27:25,26,27 28:11 (15)triable 22:4,5,24 34:8 (6) understood 5:27 verbiage 24:6,16 which 2:5 3:23 trial 7:11 23:12 16:28 waters 2:4 4:15 6:4,17 7:5 9:7 12:24 13:18 25:24 26:3,5,10,18 version 17:20 29:13,16,16 unfair 7:18 8:3 way 4:26 13:20 15:27 19:7,8 15:9 16:17 23:21 20:11 23:27 24:23 30:2,19,21 32:21 versus 1:13 3:18 (14)unfold 27:6 9:19 20:20 (4) 24:13,14 25:7 28:24 29:2,13 (18) 27:6 28:21 (10) very 2:12 28:28 trials 26:21 27:6 united 3:3 while 2:5 30:11,17 (4) ways 12:18 29:26 tried 6:23 12:11 unless 17:4 18:24 **who** 5:7 6:26 32:14 via 2:1 week 16:2,15 8:19 10:1,6,25,28 11:7,8,8,14 true 34:17 unlike 13:2 view 3:12 4:13 weeks 29:7 15:13,14 17:16 trust 15:16 5:5,12,21 8:9 9:13 18:26 26:21 unmute 2:7 14:3 21:9 26:5 well 3:13 8:8 27:3,9,10 28:12,18 trustee 15:16 32:18 (11) 9:10,18 12:24 29:6,11,16,18 until 2:20 9:17 16:7 22:26 24:26 30:15 32:10 (27) **truth** 28:15 18:8 20:15 30:15 vilicich 1:5 27:22 32:4,9,19 32:1 (6) 34:14,28 (12)**whole** 7:15 8:19 try 10:13 19:24 13:5 20:5,10,17 (5) **up** 4:28 8:23 villa 15:16 went 24:21 11:13,16 17:8 why 3:7 5:23 trying 7:21 10:13 18:9 19:22,24 virtually 23:4 **what** 4:16 5:5 6:17 13:4,13 11:1.14 28:6 20:15 22:13 23:21 6:10,19 7:14,21 24:28 27:6,8,12 30:20 (6) 25:1 26:11 (13) vs 1:2 34:7 9:13 13:6.7 (9)14:9,17,25 16:4,15 **upon** 13:18 18:8,19 19:4,25 tuesday 5:4 wages 28:5 will 4:4,28 20:6,19,20 5:12,14 6:8 turn 3:10 29:9 walk 29:23 22:14,21 23:25,28 7:9,10,13 9:2,10 **upper** 27:4 24:8,9 26:7,27 11:11 13:24 turns 29:10 **us** 11:7 17:9 wall 8:12 27:7 28:10 30:22 14:17,23 15:4 32:25 (33) 16:13,15 17:9 tweak 7:11 23:24 use 17:18 29:21 want 2:22,24 3:6 19:24 20:5 22:22,28 23:21,21 5:8,17,23 6:14 whatever 16:25 two 2:2 9:1,7 **used** 2:26 8:15,22 11:7,12 30:4 25:10 26:9 27:10 10:16,25,27 19:5 14:15 15:13,14 28:25 29:11,19 24:27 (8) useful 27:13 29:7 16:5,20,28 when 1:20 4:6 30:26 32:23 (32) 17:16,24,27 11:9 16:19 20:8 **using** 14:19 18:8,26 20:17 32:17 (6) william 1:4 34:3 type 12:5 22:5 26:17 29:11 30:12 31:4 32:10 willing 24:7 30:7 typically 28:23 ventura 2:16 whenever 30:13 3:21 4:10,21,22 33:4 (30) willingness 4:23 under 5:22 13:4 5:13 6:17 7:2 9:14 where 11:9 18:15 17:20,28 (4) 11:24 13:5,7,7 wanted 4:23,26 23:10 30:4 (4)

	, Tu	ly 23, 2021	14
wisdom 24:7	11:18,21 12:13	21:3,9,10,27 22:16	
Wisdom 2	13:20,27 14:27	23:4,6,22,23	
wise 2:13	15:22 16:4,9,11,16	24:3,5,7,11,15,21	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	18:15 20:3 21:8	25:8,12,17,21	
wish 2:17 5:15	23:12,16,28	26:5,16,27 27:2	
9:24 21:11,12 (5)	24:22,23,24	29:3,15 30:5,21,26	
	26:18,25 27:23	31:1,18,22,24	
within 11:26	29:13,26	32:10 (77)	
	30:6,15,15 31:12	,	
without 13:9	32:7 (44)	yourself 14:20	
14:7 16:1 20:11	, ,	·	
(4)	wouldn't 4:2	306 33:12	
withstanding	wreck 2:28	843 3:18,26	
11:22		6:3,12 12:24,26	
	writ 5:17,22	13:4 14:5,12	
witness 8:20		15:10 17:1 (11)	
10:26 21:5 22:2	wrong 16:21		
23:27 24:19 25:24		1000 25:11,28	
26:26 27:7	wrongful 28:2,18	26:2	
29:12,16,16,18,24			
30:3,4 (16)	xpress 2:1 24:27	1661 5:22	
witnesses 3:19	yeah 19:13 24:2	2021 1:3 34:19,21	
22:17 28:8,12		= 000 100 1100	
29:6 30:22 (6)	year 5:11	7000 10:3 11:23	
won't 8:11 29:19	years 23:2,3	7634 1:5 34:14,28	
30:5	years 23.2,3	7034 1.3 34.14,20	
30.3	yes 9:25,27 10:15		
wondered 9:6	11:21 12:22 13:22		
Wondered 5.0	21:10 22:15 24:17		
wondering 27:6	25:9 26:1 30:11		
Wondering 27.0	(12)		
words 4:25	(12)		
W0145 1.26	yesno 12:3		
work 7:14 13:28	<i>J</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
14:2 20:4,18	yet 5:7 18:22		
28:26 29:4 33:1			
(8)	younger 7:27		
working 20:20	your 2:9,19,28		
30:26	5:16,24 6:10,20		
	7:3,10,14		
workplace 28:17	8:1,11,16,26		
	9:1,20,25		
works 6:25 29:3	10:10,12,25 12:13		
	14:19,22,27		
worthy 5:22	15:10,11,12,23		
1	16:3,8,9,17,23		
would 5:3,5,19	17:7,10		
6:10 7:4 9:5,12,16	18:5,7,12,13,19,27		
10:4,6,8,15,15,16	19:15,27 20:23		